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The Mermin-Wagner Theorem

In one and two dimensions, continuous symmetries cannot be spontaneously broken at finite temperature in systems with sufficiently short-range interactions.
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For systems in statistical equilibrium the expectation value of an operator $A$ is given by

$$\langle A \rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \text{tr} \left( e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A \right)$$

If the Hamiltonian displays a continuous symmetry $S$ it commutes with the generators $\Gamma^i_S$ of the corresponding symmetry group

$$[\mathcal{H}, \Gamma^i_S]_\pm = 0$$

If some operator is not invariant under the transformations of $S$, $[B, \Gamma^i_S]_\pm = C^i \neq 0$

the average of the commutator $C^i$ vanishes:

$$\langle C^i \rangle = 0$$
For systems in statistical equilibrium the expectation value of an operator $A$ is given by

$$\langle A \rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \text{tr} \left( e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} A \right)$$

If the Hamiltonian displays a continuous symmetry $S$ it commutes with the generators $\Gamma^i_S$ of the corresponding symmetry group

$$[\mathcal{H}, \Gamma^i_S]_- = 0$$

If some operator is not invariant under the transformations of $S$,

$$[B, \Gamma^i_S]_- = C^i \neq 0$$

the average of the commutator $C^i$ vanishes:

$$\langle C^i \rangle = 0$$
It turns out that such averages may be unstable under an infinitesimal perturbation of the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu = H + \nu H' - \mu \hat{N}$$

one can define the quasi-average:

$$\langle A \rangle_q = \lim_{\nu \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} tr \left( e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_\nu} A \right)$$

The quasi-average does not need to coincide with the normal average

$$\langle C^i \rangle_q = \lim_{\nu \to 0} tr \left( e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_\nu} [\mathcal{H}, \Gamma^i_S]_- \right) \neq 0$$
An Example:

\[ H = - \sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j \]

It is invariant under rotations in spin-space

\[ [H, S]_\_ = 0 \]

From

\[ \left\langle [S^\alpha, S^\beta]_\_ \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [S^x, S^y]_\_ = i\hbar S^z \]

we find that the conventional average of the magnetization vanishes.

Adding a symmetry breaking field

\[ B_0 = B_0 e_z \]

we may study quasi-averages and find spontaneous symmetry breaking.
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The Mermin-Wagner Theorem
For the proof of the Mermin-Wagner Theorem we will use the Bogoliubov inequality

\[
\frac{1}{2} \beta \left\langle \left[ A, A^{\dagger} \right]_+ \right\rangle \left\langle \left[ [C, H]_-, C^{\dagger} \right]_- \right\rangle \geq \left| \left\langle [C, A]_- \right\rangle \right|^2
\]
The idea for proofing the Bogoliubov inequality is to define an appropriate scalar product and then exploit the Schwarz inequality:

\[
(A, B) = \sum_{n \neq m} \left\langle n \right| A^\dagger \left| m \right\rangle \left\langle m \right| B \left| n \right\rangle \frac{W_m - W_n}{E_n - E_m}
\]

with

\[
W_n = \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Tr \left( e^{-\beta H} \right)}
\]

A scalar product has four defining axioms:

1. \((A, B) = (B, A)^*\)

This is valid since

\[
\left( \left\langle n \right| B^\dagger \left| m \right\rangle \left\langle m \right| A \left| n \right\rangle \right)^* = \left\langle n \right| A^\dagger \left| m \right\rangle \left\langle m \right| B \left| n \right\rangle
\]
The linearity follows directly from the linearity of the matrix element.

It is also obvious that

$$(A, A) \geq 0$$

From $A = 0$ it naturally follows that $(A, A) = 0$. The converse is not necessarily true.

In conclusion this shows that we have constructed a semidefinite scalar product.
To exploit the Schwarz inequality, we calculate the terms occurring in it:

$$|(A, B)|^2 \leq (A, A) (B, B)$$

We now choose

$$B = \left[ C^\dagger, H \right]$$
First we calculate

\[(A, B) = \sum_{n \neq m} \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | [C^\dagger, H]_\_ | n \rangle \frac{W_m - W_n}{E_n - E_m}\]

\[= \sum_{n, m} \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | C^\dagger | n \rangle (W_m - W_n)\]

\[= \sum_m W_m \langle m | C^\dagger A^\dagger | m \rangle - \sum_n W_n \langle n | A^\dagger C^\dagger | n \rangle\]

\[= \langle C^\dagger A^\dagger - A^\dagger C^\dagger \rangle = \langle [C^\dagger, A^\dagger]_\_ \rangle\]

Substituting \(B = [C^\dagger, H]_\_\), we find

\[(B, B) = \langle [C^\dagger, [H, C]_\_]_\_ \rangle \geq 0\]
For \((A, A)\) we use the following approximation:

\[
0 < \frac{W_m - W_n}{E_n - E_m} < \frac{\beta}{2} \left( W_n + W_m \right)
\]

Since \(\tanh x < x\) for \(x > 0\), we find that
We can now estimate the scalar product:

\[
(A, A) < \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n \neq m} \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | A | n \rangle (W_n + W_m)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n, m} \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | A | n \rangle (W_n + W_m)
\]

\[
= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n} W_n \left( \langle n | A^\dagger A | n \rangle + \langle n | AA^\dagger | n \rangle \right)
\]

This finally leads to

\[
(A, A) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \left[ A, A^\dagger \right]_+
\]
Putting what we found in the Schwarz inequality, we find that we proofed the Bogoliubov inequality

\[ \frac{1}{2} \beta \langle [A, A^+]_+ \rangle \langle [[C, H], C^+]_- \rangle \geq |\langle [C, A]_- \rangle|^2 \]
We now want to find out whether the isotropic Heisenberg model gives a spontaneous magnetization. The starting point is the Hamiltonian

\[ H = - \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - b \sum_i S_i^z e^{-i \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i} \]

We are interested in the magnetization

\[ M_s(T) = \lim_{B_0 \to 0} gJ \frac{\mu_B}{\hbar} \sum_i e^{-i \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i} \langle S_i^z \rangle_{T,B_0} \]
For the following analysis, we assume that the exchange integrals $J_{ij}$ decrease sufficiently fast with increasing distance $|R_i - R_j|$ so that the quantity

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} |R_i - R_j|^2 |J_{ij}|$$

remains finite.
We will now prove the Mermin-Wagner Theorem by using the Bogoliubov inequality for the operators

\[ A = S^-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}) \Rightarrow A^\dagger = S^+(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \]

\[ C = S^+(\mathbf{k}) \Rightarrow C^\dagger = S^-(\mathbf{-k}) \]

Where the spin operators in \( \mathbf{k} \)-space are defined by

\[ S^\alpha(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_i S^\alpha_i e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}_i} \]

From this we find the commutation relations

\[ [S^+(\mathbf{k}_1), S^-(\mathbf{k}_2)]_\pm = 2\hbar S^z(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \]

\[ [S^z(\mathbf{k}_1), S^\pm(\mathbf{k}_2)]_\pm = \pm\hbar S^\pm(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \]
We now evaluate the three individual terms of the Bogoliubov inequality

\[
\langle [C, A]_\_ \rangle = \langle [S^+(k), S^-(\textbf{-k} + \textbf{K})]_\_ \rangle \\
= 2\hbar \langle S^z(\textbf{K}) \rangle \\
= 2\hbar \sum_i \text{e}^{-i\textbf{K}R_i} \langle S^z_i \rangle \\
= \frac{2\hbar^2 N}{gJ\mu_B} M(T, B_0)
\]
\[
\sum_k \left\langle \left[ A, A^\dagger \right]_+ \right\rangle = \sum_k \left\langle \left[ S^-(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}), S^+(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \right]_+ \right\rangle \\
= \sum_k \sum_{i,j} e^{i(k-K)(R_i-R_j)} \left\langle S_i^- S_j^+ + S_j^+ S_i^- \right\rangle \\
= 2N \sum_i \left\langle (S_i^x)^2 + (S_i^y)^2 \right\rangle \\
\leq 2N \sum_i \left\langle S_i^2 \right\rangle \\
= \frac{2\hbar^2}{\hbar} N^2 S(S + 1)
\]
Now we calculate the double commutator

\[ \left\langle \left[ [C, H], C^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \]

First we will evaluate

\[ [S_m^+, H]_- = -\hbar \sum_i J_{im} \left( 2S_i^+ S_m^z - S_i^z S_m^+ - S_m^+ S_i^z \right) + \hbar b S_m^+ e^{-iKR_m} \]

Using this, we evaluate the double commutator

\[ \left[ [S_m^+, H]_-, S_p^- \right]_- = 2\hbar^2 \sum_i J_{ip} \delta_{mp} \left( S_i^+ S_p^- + 2S_i^z S_p^z \right) - 2\hbar^2 J_{mp} \left( S_m^+ S_p^- + 2S_m^z S_p^z \right) + 2\hbar^2 b \delta_{mp} S_p^z e^{-iKR_p} \]
This leads to the following intermediate result for the expectation value we are looking for

\[
\left\langle \left[ [C, H], C^\dagger \right] \right\rangle = \sum_{m,p} e^{ik(R_m - R_p)} \left\langle \left[ [S_m^+, H], S_p^- \right] \right\rangle 
\]

\[
= 2\hbar^2 b \sum_p \langle S_p^z \rangle e^{-ikR_p} 
\]

\[
+ 2\hbar^2 \sum_{m,p} J_{mp} \left( 1 - e^{-ik(R_m - R_p)} \right) \langle S_m^+ S_p^- + 2S_m^z S_p^z \rangle 
\]
To find a simple upper bound we may add to the right-hand side the same expression with $k$ replaced by $-k$:

$$
\left\langle \left[ \left[ C, H \right], C^\dagger \right] \right\rangle 
\leq 4\hbar^2 b \sum_p \langle S^z_p \rangle e^{-iKR_p} 

+ 4\hbar^2 \sum_{m,p} J_{mp} \left( 1 - \cos \left( k \left( R_m - R_p \right) \right) \right) \langle S_m S_p + S^z_m S^z_p \rangle
$$
We can simplify the right hand side using the triangle inequality

\[
\left\langle \left[ [C, H], C^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \\
\leq 4\hbar^2 bN \left| \langle S^z_p \rangle \right| \\
+ 4\hbar^2 \sum_{m,p} |J_{mp}| \left| 1 - \cos (k (R_m - R_p)) \right| \left( \left| \langle S_m S_p \rangle \right| + \left| \langle S^z_m S^z_p \rangle \right| \right) \\
\leq 4\hbar^2 bN \left| \langle S^z_p \rangle \right| \\
+ 4\hbar^2 \sum_{m,p} |J_{mp}| \left| 1 - \cos (k (R_m - R_p)) \right| \left( \hbar^2 S(S + 1) + \hbar^2 S^2 \right) \\
\leq 4\hbar^2 bN \left| \langle S^z_p \rangle \right| \\
+ 8\hbar^2 S(S + 1) \sum_{m,p} |J_{mp}| \left| 1 - \cos (k (R_m - R_p)) \right|\
\]
Therewith we have found

\[
\left\langle \left[ [C, H], C^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \leq 4\hbar^2 |B_0 M(T, B_0)| + 8\hbar^2 S(S + 1) \sum_{m,p} \frac{|J_{mp}|}{2} k^2 |\mathbf{R}_m - \mathbf{R}_p|^2 \leq 4\hbar^2 |B_0 M(T, B_0)| + 4Nk^2 \hbar^4 QS(S + 1)
\]
Substituting what we have found in the Bogoliubov inequality and summing over all the wavevectors of the first Brillouin zone we get:

\[ \beta S(S + 1) \geq \frac{M^2}{N^2 g_j^2 \mu_B^2} \sum_k \frac{1}{|B_0 M| + k^2 \hbar^2 NQS(S + 1)} \]

We are finally ready to prove the Mermin-Wagner Theorem. In the thermodynamic limit we find:

\[ S(S + 1) \geq \frac{m^2 v_d \Omega_d}{\beta (2\pi)^d g_j^2 \mu_B^2} \int_{0}^{k_0} \frac{k^{d-1} dk}{|B_0 M| + k^2 \hbar^2 QS(S + 1)} \]
All that is left to do is to evaluate the integrals. This can be done exactly; in one dimension we find:

\[
S(S + 1) \geq \frac{m^2 v_1}{\beta 2\pi g^2 J \mu_B^2} \frac{\arctan \left( k_0 \sqrt{\frac{Q \hbar^2 S(S+1)}{|B_0 m|}} \right)}{\sqrt{Q \hbar^2 S(S + 1) |B_0 m|}}
\]

We are specifically interested in the behaviour of the magnetization for small fields \(B_0\):

\[
|m(T, B_0)| \leq \text{const.} \frac{B_0^{1/3}}{T^{2/3}}, \quad \text{as } B_0 \to 0
\]
For a two-dimensional lattice we find:

\[
S(S+1) \geq \frac{m^2 v_2}{\beta 2\pi g_j^2 \mu_B^2} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{Q \hbar^2 S(S+1) k_0^2 + |B_0 m|}}{|B_0 m|} \right) \frac{2Q \hbar^2 S(S+1)}{2Q \hbar^2 S(S+1)}
\]

from which for small fields we get

\[
|m(T, B_0)| \leq \text{const.} \left( T \ln \left( \frac{\text{const.'} + |B_0 m|}{|B_0 m|} \right) \right)^{-1/2}
\]
From the previous two expressions we conclude that there is no spontaneous magnetization in one and two dimensions:

\[ m_{sp} = \lim_{B_0 \to 0} m(T, B_0) = 0 \text{ for } T \neq 0 \]

Thus, the Mermin-Wagner Theorem is proved.
1. The proof is valid only for $T > 0$. For $T = 0$ our inequalities make no predictions.

2. Via the factor $e^{-iKRi}$ the proof also forbids long-range order in antiferromagnets.

3. We cannot make any predictions for $d > 2$, but Roepstroff strengthened the proof to find an upper bound for the magnetization in $d \geq 3$. 
The theorem is valid for arbitrary spin $S$.

The theorem is valid only for the isotropic Heisenberg model. The proof is not valid even for a weak anisotropy. This explains the existence of a number of two-dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnets and antiferromagnets like $K_2CuF_4$.

The theorem is restricted only to the non-existence of spontaneous magnetization. It does not necessarily exclude other types of phase transitions. For example the magnetic susceptibility may diverge.
This is the end of my presentation
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