

Flow and HBT radii from ideal **and viscous** hydro

Piotr Bożek



IFJ PAN


Flow and dissipation, ECT Trento*

3+1D hydrodynamic model

- ▶ expansion of dense matter
- ▶ ideal hydro: $\epsilon(x_\mu)$, $p(x_\mu)$, $\vec{v}(x_\mu)$.
- ▶ hard EOS
- ▶ flow + thermal emission + decays
- ▶ THERMINATOR Monte-Carlo code


P.B. , Iwona Wyskiel, Phys. Rev. C 79, 044916 (2009)






- ▶ Bjorken plateau destroyed
- ▶ Flow stronger than Bjorken
- ▶ Fast cooling

But viscosity!




P.B. Phys.Rev. C77 ,034911 (2008)




works for centralities 0 – 40%

Spectra $y \neq 0$


- ▶ excellent agreement
- ▶ thermal fireball
- ▶ no boost-invariance





Spectra $b \neq 0$



Nonaka, Bass (2007)




Elliptic flow




Hirano, Heinz, Karzeev, Lacey,
Nara (2006)

HBT radii





Hirano, Tsuda



Agreement within 10%

Estimate of viscous effects

dissipative effects at freeze-out $f = f_0 + \delta f$




- ▶ Reduction of v_2
- ▶ Important at large η

Limits of ideal hydro - summary

- ▶ works **too** well for spectra
- ▶ works well for HBT
- ▶ π -proton splitting?
- ▶ cannot be trusted for v_2

Viscosity in HG vs. viscosity in QGP




$$\frac{\eta_{QGP}}{s} = \frac{1}{2\pi} = 0.16 \quad \frac{\eta_{HG}}{s} = 0.1$$


$$p^\mu \partial_\mu f_0 = -\frac{p^\mu u^\mu}{\tau_{HG}} \delta f \rightarrow \delta f$$

- ▶ $\Pi = \sum \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tau_{HG} m^2}{3ET} f_0 (1 \pm f_0) \left(\frac{p^2}{3E} - c_s^2 E \right) \partial_\mu u^\mu = -\zeta \partial_\mu u^\mu$
- ▶ $\delta f_{bulk} = C \frac{f_0 (1 \pm f_0)}{T} \left(\frac{p^2}{3E} - c_s^2 E \right) \Pi$


Bulk viscosity




Entropy production and initial conditions




- ▶ 20% in QGP
- ▶ 2-3% in HG



- ▶ different freeze-out temperatures
- ▶ different initial energy density
- ▶ bulk viscosity important !!




v_2 without bulk viscosity




reduction of v_2 from viscous flow and δf

v_2 HG, QGP or both?



- ▶ viscosity in HG important
vHG or vHG+vQGP
- ▶ bulk viscosity important

few % differences in HBT



sizes depend on freeze-out time
(and flow)

vQGP+vHG favored!!

Technical summary

	id. fl.	vQGP	vHG	vQGP+vHG	vQGP+vHG ($\zeta = 0$)
T_F	140MeV	150MeV	130MeV	135MeV	150MeV
spectra	< 2GeV	< 2GeV	< 1.2GeV	< 1.2GeV+	< 2GeV
v_2	\simeq	reduced	\simeq	\simeq	strong red.
$p/\pi v_2$	no	no	yes	yes	no
HBT	$\pm 15\%$	$\pm 10\%$	$\pm 15\%$	$\pm 10\%$	$\pm 10\%$


viscosity in HG seems favored by v_2 (vHG or vQGP+vHG)
viscosity in QGP (early transverse push ?) improves spectra, HBT

Conclusions

- ▶ HG masks v_2 measure of viscosity
- ▶ add HBT into the game
- ▶ extracting η_{QGP} difficult (τ_0 , initial push, ...)

Conclusions


- ▶ HG masks v_2 measure of viscosity
- ▶ add HBT into the game
- ▶ extracting η_{QGP} difficult (τ_0 , initial push, ...)



Hirano, Heinz, Karzeev, Lacey,
Nara (2006)


Rapidity dependence of shear viscosity effects

$$\frac{\nabla_{\mu} u_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} u_{\mu} - \frac{2}{3} \Delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\alpha}}{\partial_{\alpha} u^{\alpha}} = \frac{\text{shear}}{\text{bulk}}$$




Shear viscosity dominates at large η


Kaon spectra



Proton spectra



centrality=30-40%



HG dissipation important !!

No effect of viscosity on HBT

