Baryon and strangeness diffusion and their cross-talk as a function of temperature in a hadronic transport approach

Philip Azim Karan

with J. M. Torres-Rincon, J. Hammelmann, J. Fotakis and H. Elfner

April 29th, 2021







Funded by



Introduction

April 29th, 2021

Heavy-ion collisions performed to investigate QCD phase diagram

Finding expected 1st order phase transition and critical point

 After QGP phase: hadronization
 Low-energy heavy-ion collisions: hadron gas



Heavy-ion collisions performed to investigate QCD phase diagram

Finding expected 1st order phase transition and critical point

- > After QGP phase: hadronization
- Low-energy heavy-ion collisions: only hadron gas



> Low-energy heavy-ion collisions:

- RHIC BES program @ BNL
- > FAIR @ GSI
- > NICA @ JINR
- Hydrodynamic and transport approaches (or hybrids)
 - ➢ UrQMD
 - ➢ BAMPS
 - ➢ PHSD
 - ➤ SMASH



> Low-energy heavy-ion collisions:

- RHIC BES program @ BNL
- ≻ FAIR @ GSI
- > NICA @ JINR
- Hydrodynamic and transport approaches (or hybrids)
 - UrQMD
 - BAMPS
 - PHSD
 - SMASH



> ...

- Linear response transport coefficients can be used to characterize hadronic matter
 - > Shear and bulk viscosities: η , ζ
 - Conductivities / diffusion coefficients related to baryon, electric and strangeness charge: σ_{ij}, κ_{ij}

current
$$\longrightarrow \vec{J}_k = v_k \vec{X}_k \longleftarrow$$
 gradient
transport coefficient



Charge diffusion



Charge diffusion

Diffusion processes associated with conserved currents (B,Q,S) do not occur independently from each other

charged current

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_B^{\mu} \\ j_Q^{\mu} \\ j_S^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{BB} & \kappa_{BQ} & \kappa_{BS} \\ \kappa_{QB} & \kappa_{QQ} & \kappa_{QS} \\ \kappa_{SB} & \kappa_{SQ} & \kappa_{SS} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_B \\ \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_Q \\ \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_S \end{pmatrix}$$
gradients of charged thermal potentials $\alpha_i = \mu_i/T$

Goals

Calculate full diffusion coefficient matrix of the hadron gas using SMASH -> specifically, baryon and strangeness related coefficients

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_B^{\mu} \\ j_Q^{\mu} \\ j_S^{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{BB} & \kappa_{BQ} & \kappa_{BS} \\ \kappa_{QB} & \kappa_{QQ} & \kappa_{QS} \\ \kappa_{SB} & \kappa_{SQ} & \kappa_{SS} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_B \\ \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_Q \\ \nabla^{\mu} \alpha_S \end{pmatrix}$$

Compare results to previous calculations by J.-B. Rose (SMASH) and kinetic theory by M. Greif & J. Fotakis *et.al.*

The model: SMASH

April 29th, 2021

SMASH (Simulating Many Accelerated Strongly-interacting Hadrons)

Hadronic transport approach using an effective solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation:

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{a}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) + m_{a}F^{\alpha}\partial^{p}_{\alpha}f_{a}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) = C^{coll}_{a}$$

Geometric collision criterion:

$$d_{trans} < d_{int} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{tot}}{\pi}}$$

> Spectral functions of resonances are described by relativistic Breit-Wigner functions, with resonance life-time $\tau = 1/\Gamma(m)$

- > Elastic collision via resonances, fully parametrized cross-sections, or scaled from πp cross-sections using the Additive Quark Model
- Inelastic 2 -> 2 processes:
 - $\succ NN \leftrightarrow NR$
 - $\succ NN \leftrightarrow \Delta R$
 - $\succ KN \leftrightarrow KN$
 - $\succ KN \leftrightarrow K\Delta$
 - $\succ KN \leftrightarrow \pi\Upsilon, \Upsilon = \{\Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi\}$
- Processes that violate detailed balance are turned off, e.g. string excitations

SMASH – Infinite matter simulations

> 3D cube with periodic boundary conditions

➤ Initialized with temperature T and chemical potentials μ_i with $i = \{B, Q, S\}$ → thermal momenta and multiplicities:

$$N_{a} = g_{a} \frac{VT^{3}}{2\pi^{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i} \mu_{a}^{i} q_{a}^{i}}{T}\right) \left(\frac{m_{a}}{T}\right)^{2} K_{2} \left(\frac{m_{a}}{T}\right)$$

Isospin degeneracy of particle species a

> All chemical potentials are set to 0 in this work

Degrees of freedom

April 29th, 2021

DOF: Simplified hadron gas ($\sigma = 30 \text{ mb}$)

N	Δ	٨	Σ	E	Ω		Unfla		Strange	
N ₉₃₈	Δ ₁₂₃₂	۸ ₁₁₁₆	Σ ₁₁₈₉	Ξ ₁₃₁₈	Ω ₁₆₇₂	π ₁₃₈	<i>f</i> o 980	<i>f</i> _{2 1276}	ρ _{3 1690}	K ₄₉₄
						π_{1300}				
						π_{1800}				
						η_{548}				
						η'_{958}				
						η ₁₂₉₅				
						η ₁₄₀₅				
						η_{1475}				
						σ_{800}				
						ρ ₇₇₆				
						$ ho_{1450}$				
						ρ ₁₇₀₀				
						ω ₇₈₃				
						ω_{1420}				
						ω_{1650}				

DOF: Simple hadron gas with resonances

Ν	Δ	٨	Σ	Ξ	Ω		Unflavored 8 fo 980 f2 1276 P3 1690 0 fo 1370 f2 1525			
N ₉₃₈	Δ_{1232}	۸ ₁₁₁₆	Σ ₁₁₈₉	Ξ ₁₃₁₈	Ω ₁₆₇₂	π ₁₃₈	<i>f</i> o 980	<i>f</i> _{2 1276}	ρ _{3 1690}	K ₄₉₄
N ₁₄₄₀						π_{1300}				K_{892}^{*}
N ₁₅₂₀						π_{1800}				
N ₁₅₃₅										
N ₁₆₅₀						η_{548}				
						η'_{958}				
						η ₁₂₉₅				
						η ₁₄₀₅				
						η_{1475}				
						σ_{800}				
						ρ ₇₇₆				
						$ ho_{1450}$				
						ρ ₁₇₀₀				
						ω ₇₈₃				
						ω_{1420}				
						ω_{1650}				

DOF: Full hadron gas

Ν	Δ	۸	Σ	Ξ	Ω		Strange			
N ₉₃₈	Δ_{1232}	Λ ₁₁₁₆	Σ ₁₁₈₉	Ξ ₁₃₁₈	Ω ₁₆₇₂	π_{138}	f _{0 980}	f_{21276}	$ ho_{31690}$	K ₄₉₄
N ₁₄₄₀	Δ_{1620}	Λ_{1405}	Σ ₁₃₈₅	Ξ ₁₅₃₃	Ω ₂₂₅₂	π_{1300}	<i>f</i> _{0 1370}	f'_{21525}		K ₈₉₂
N ₁₅₂₀	Δ_{1700}	Λ_{1520}	Σ ₁₆₆₀	Ξ ₁₆₉₀		π_{1800}	f _{0 1500}	<i>f</i> _{2 1950}	Фз 1850	<i>K</i> _{1 1270}
N ₁₅₃₅	Δ_{1900}	Λ_{1600}	Σ ₁₆₇₀	Ξ ₁₈₂₃			<i>f</i> _{0 1710}	f_{22010}		<i>K</i> _{1 1400}
N ₁₆₅₀	Δ_{1905}	Λ_{1670}	Σ ₁₇₅₀	Ξ ₁₉₅₀		η_{548}		f_{22300}	<i>a</i> _{4 2040}	K_{1420}^{*}
N ₁₆₇₅	Δ_{1910}	Λ_{1690}	Σ ₁₇₇₅	Ξ ₂₀₂₅		η_{958}'	a_{0980}	f_{22340}		$K_{0\ 1430}^{*}$
N ₁₆₈₀	Δ_{1920}	Λ_{1800}	Σ ₁₉₁₅			η_{1295}	<i>a</i> _{0 1450}		<i>f</i> _{4 2050}	$K_{2\ 1430}^{*}$
N ₁₇₀₀	Δ_{1930}	Λ_{1810}	Σ ₁₉₄₀			η_{1405}		<i>f</i> _{1 1285}		K_{1680}^{*}
N ₁₇₁₀	Δ_{1950}	Λ_{1820}	Σ ₂₀₃₀			η_{1475}	φ ₁₀₁₉	<i>f</i> _{1 1420}		<i>K</i> _{2 1770}
N ₁₈₇₅		Λ_{1830}	Σ ₂₂₅₀				φ ₁₆₈₀			$K_{3\ 1780}^{*}$
N ₁₈₈₀		Λ_{1890}				σ_{800}		<i>a</i> _{2 1320}		K _{2 1820}
N ₁₈₉₅		Λ ₂₁₀₀					$h_{1\ 1170}$			$K_{4\ 2045}^{*}$
N ₁₉₀₀		Λ ₂₁₁₀				$ ho_{776}$		π_{11400}		
N ₁₉₉₀		Λ_{2350}				$ ho_{1450}$	<i>b</i> _{1 1235}	π_{11600}		
N ₂₀₆₀						$ ho_{1700}$				
N ₂₀₈₀							<i>a</i> _{1 1260}	$\eta_{2\;1645}$		
N ₂₁₀₀						ω_{783}				
N ₂₁₂₀						ω_{1420}		ω_{31670}		
N ₂₁₉₀						ω_{1650}				
N ₂₂₂₀								$\pi_{2\ 1670}$		
N ₂₂₅₀										

Methods

April 29th, 2021

Linear response theory + Green-Kubo

Allows to describe transport coefficients by equilibrium state correlation functions (*i*, *j* = {*B*, *Q*, *S*}):





Equilibrium of the hadron gas

- After initialization, a box is not in chemical or thermal equilibrium, e.g. due to Poissonian sampling of particles, initializations at pole masses, etc.
- Chemical and thermal equilibrium of a hadron gas is reached if:
 - steady state in terms of its chemical composition and momentum distribution is achieved
 - The temperature saturates at a constant value

$$\frac{\mathrm{d} N_a}{\mathrm{d} p} \propto p_a^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{p_a^2 + m_a^2} - \sum_k \mu_a^k q_a^k}{T}\right)$$

Equilibrium of the hadron gas

- After initialization, a box is not in chemical or thermal equilibrium, e.g. due to Poissonian sampling of particles, initializations at pole masses, etc.
- > Chemical and thermal equilibrium of a hadron gas is reached if:
 - steady state in terms of its chemical composition and momentum distribution is achieved
 - The temperature saturates at a constant value

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,N_a}{\mathrm{d}\,p} \propto p_a^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{p_a^2 + m_a^2} - \sum_k \mu_a^k q_a^k}{T}\right)$$

Equilibrium of the hadron gas

- Thermal equilibrium is reached after chemical, so monitoring the temperature is sufficient
- Temperature differs for different particle species
- Weighted average of most abundant particles:

pions + kaons + nucleons



Results

Temperature: Simplified hadron gas



Temperature: Simple hadron gas with resonances



Temperature: Full hadron gas



Temperature: Full hadron gas



Correlation functions in SMASH

SMASH provides full phase space information of each particle at every time step

$$J_i^{\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{a=1}^{N} q_i^a \frac{p_a^{\mu}(t)}{p_a^0(t)} \qquad q_i^a = \{B^a, Q^a, S^a\}$$

> Correlation function:

$$C(t) = \langle J(0) J(u\Delta t) \rangle = \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{1}{K - u} \sum_{s=0}^{K-u} J(s\Delta t) J(s\Delta t + u\Delta t)$$

Total number of
considered time steps
K=8000, Δt =0.05 fm

April 29th, 2021

C(0)V: Simplified hadron gas



$$C_{ij}(t) = C_{ij}(0) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{ij}}\right)$$

$$\kappa_{ij}(t) = C_{ij}(0)V \cdot \tau_{ij}$$

Diffusion: Simplified hadron gas



Differences of symmetric quantities serve as an estimation for a systematical error

All coefficients approximately of the same order of magnitude

> Larger errors for κ_{BQ} and κ_{BS} at lower T

Diffusion: Simple hadron gas with resonances



Data compared to kinetic theory that uses a hadron gas of different chemical composition with more degrees of freedom

κ_{QQ} and κ_{BQ} similar
 to kinetic theory due
 to contributing
 particles

Kinetic theory: Composition

Particle	$M_0 \; [{\rm GeV}]$	g
π	0.138	3
K	0.494	4
N	0.938	4
Λ	1.116	2
Σ^0	1.193	2
Σ^+	1.189	2
Σ^{-}	1.197	2

- No resonances, only parametrized, energydependent or constant crosssections
- Addition of Σ baryons that can carry all three conserved charges (B,Q,S)

[arXiv:1912.09103[hep-ph]]



- Large contribution from the Σ baryon
- κ_{BS} errors smaller
 due to more
 contributing particles
- Kinetic theory gives good approximation of data, even though a simpler system was used



- Large contribution from the Σ baryon
- κ_{BS} errors smaller
 due to more
 contributing particles

Kinetic theory gives good approximation of data, even though a simpler system was used

April 29th, 2021



- Large contribution from the Σ baryon
- κ_{BS} errors smaller
 due to more
 contributing particles
- Kinetic theory gives good approximation of data, even though a simpler system was used



- Large contribution from the Σ baryon
- κ_{BS} errors smaller
 due to more
 contributing particles
- Kinetic theory gives good approximation of data, even though a simpler system was used

Breakdown of the exponential ansatz





Time it takes for system to get back to equilibrium after perturbation



Time it takes for system to get back to equilibrium after perturbation



Time it takes for system to get back to equilibrium after perturbation



Time it takes for system to get back to equilibrium after perturbation

Conclusion

April 29th, 2021

Summary

- Transport diffusion coefficients as a function of temperature for three hadron gases of different chemical composition have been calculated with SMASH
- Diffusion coefficients are sensitive to an increasing number of degrees of freedom
- Increasing the degrees of freedom has a larger effect on simpler systems than on systems that already have many different particle species
- Kinetic theory calculations from Fotakis *et.al.* serve as a good first approximation of the full hadron gas in SMASH

Outlook

- Investigation of all diffusion coefficients using SMASH at finite baryon, electric and strangeness chemical potentials
- Calculations take very long for complex systems, even longer with finite chemical potentials -> using already equilibrated systems for sampling more data
- Exponential ansatz breaks down -> potentially needing to find other methods for calculation
- QGP calculations have to be compared to those of the hadron gas in the region of the expected phase transition
- Hydrodynamic calculations with diffusion coefficients from SMASH as input



Backup Slides

Name	Mass $[MeV/c^2]$	Spin	Degeneracy	Baryon Number	Electric Charge	Strangeness
π^+	138	0	1	0	+e	0
π^{-}	138	0	1	0	-e	0
π^0	138	0	1	0	0	0
K^+	496	0	1	0	+e	+1
K^{-}	496	0	1	0	-e	-1
K^0	496	0	1	0	0	+1
\bar{K}^0	496	0	1	0	0	-1
p	938	1/2	2	+1	+e	0
\bar{p}	938	1/2	2	-1	+e	0
n	938	1/2	2	+1	0	0
\bar{n}	938	1/2	2	-1	0	0
Λ^0	1116	1/2	2	+1	0	-1
$ar{\Lambda}^0$	1116	1/2	2	-1	0	+1
Σ^0	1193	1/2	2	+1	0	-1
$\bar{\Sigma}^0$	1193	1/2	2	-1	0	+1
Σ^+	1189	1/2	2	+1	+e	-1
$\bar{\Sigma}^+$	1189	1/2	2	-1	-e	+1
Σ^{-}	1197	1/2	2	+1	-e	-1
$\bar{\Sigma}^{-}$	1197	1/2	2	-1	+e	+1

[arXiv:1912.09103[hep-ph]]

	π^+	π^{-}	π^0	K^+	K^{-}	K^0	\bar{K}^0	p	n	\bar{p}	\bar{n}	Λ^0	$\bar{\Lambda}^0$	Σ^0	$\bar{\Sigma}^0$	Σ^+	$\bar{\Sigma}^+$	Σ^{-}	$\bar{\Sigma}^-$
π^+	10	res	res	10	10	res	10	res	10	10	res	23.1	23.1	5	5	5	5	5	5
π^{-}		10	res	res	10	10	res	res	res	res	10	23.1	23.1	5	5	5	5	5	5
π^0			5	res	10	res	res	res	res	res	res	23.1	23.1	5	5	5	5	5	5
K^+				10	10	10	50	res	10	20	10	18.5	18.5	3	3	3	3	3	3
K^{-}					10	50	10	res	res	6	10	18.5	18.5	3	3	3	3	3	3
K^0						10	50	6	6	20	20	18.5	18.5	3	3	3	3	3	3
\bar{K}^0							10	8	20	6	6	18.5	18.5	3	3	3	3	3	3
p								res	res	res	20	34.7	34.7	10	10	10	10	10	10
n									20	res	100	34.7	34.7	10	10	10	10	10	10
\bar{p}										10	10	34.7	34.7	10	10	10	10	10	10
\bar{n}											10	34.7	34.7	10	10	10	10	10	10
Λ^0												30	30	10	10	10	10	10	10
$\bar{\Lambda}^0$													30	10	10	10	10	10	10
Σ^0														10	10	10	10	10	10
$\bar{\Sigma}^0$															10	10	10	10	10
Σ^+																10	10	10	10
$\bar{\Sigma}^+$																	10	10	10
Σ^{-}																		10	10
$\bar{\Sigma}^-$																			10

[arXiv:1912.09103[hep-ph]]

C(0)V: Simple hadron gas with resonances



 $\kappa_{ij}(t) = C_{ij}(0)V \cdot \tau_{ij}$