

Sheet 2

Hand in via OLAT until Tuesday the 17th of November 18:00.

4) Ergodicity (4+2=6 Points)

- (i) Consider a particle of mass m in one dimension, subject to the potential

$$V(x) = \theta(x_1 - x)\kappa(x - x_1)^2 + \theta(x - x_2)\lambda(x - x_2)^2 + \theta(x - x_1)\theta(x_2 - x) \min_x (\kappa(x - x_1)^2, \lambda(x - x_2)^2),$$

where $x_2 > x_1$ and $\theta(x)$ is the step function and $\kappa, \lambda > 0$. For which energies $E = T + V$ is this system ergodic, non-ergodic? Discuss in both cases the dependence of the time average from the initial conditions.

- (ii) Consider a system of N uncoupled harmonic oscillators with total energy $E = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i$, where E_i is the energy of the i -th oscillator. Show that the system is not ergodic.

5) Representation of the microcanonical ensemble (4+3=7 Points)

In the lecture you derived the probability density of an isolated, ergodic system with conserved energy, at constant particle number N and constant volume V . Energy conservation is expressed in terms of the Hamilton function $H(\vec{\pi}) = H(p, q) = E_0 = \text{const.}$

The probability density is therefore given from the microcanonical ensemble

$$\rho(p, q) = \frac{1}{\Omega(E_0, N, V)} \delta(H(\vec{\pi}) - E_0).$$

- (i) Show that

$$\langle H(\vec{\pi}) \rangle = E_0.$$

Hint: Rewrite the phase space integration $d\Gamma$, splitting the measure into a hypersurface of constant energy df_{E_0} and a perpendicular phase space vector to this surface $d\pi_{\perp}$, $d\Gamma = df_{E_0} d\pi_{\perp}$. Next consider the total differential of the Hamilton function $H(\vec{\pi})$. Make use of the direction of the gradient to express $d\pi_{\perp}$ in terms of dH , making it possible to integrate the δ -distribution.

An alternative representation of the microcanonical probability density, familiar from the lecture, is given as

$$\rho(p, q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(E_0, V, N)} & E \in [E_0, E_0 + \Delta E] \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Instead of a δ -distribution, an energy interval of finite, but small size ΔE is considered.

- (ii) Show that in the case $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$, we have the same expectation value familiar from (i)

$$\langle H(\vec{\pi}) \rangle = E_0.$$

Hint: Proceed as in (i), but keep in mind, that you are now dealing with a finite shell of thickness ΔE .

Make use of the expansion of the phase space volume $\Delta\Gamma(E_0, V, N)$ at small ΔE and identify the density of states $\Omega(E_0, V, N)$ in the limit $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$.

6) Properties of the density operator (7 Points)

Let \hat{A} be an operator, and the density operator of quantum mechanical system with N particles is defined as

$$\hat{\rho}_N = \sum_i w_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_i w_i = 1$$

. Prove that

- (i) $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_N \hat{A}) = \text{Tr}(\hat{A} \hat{\rho}_N)$.
- (ii) $\hat{\rho}_N$ ist hermitian.
- (iii) $\hat{\rho}_N$ has only positive eigenvalues.
- (iv) $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_N) = 1$, i.e. the probability density is normalized.
- (v) $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_N \ln(\hat{\rho}_N)) = \sum_{i=1} w_i \ln w_i$.
- (vi) $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_N^2) \leq \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}_N)$ (when does equality hold?)
- (vii) The statistical mean value $\langle \hat{A} \rangle$ equals the quantum mechanical expectation value of \hat{A} , if the system is in a pure state ($w_i = \delta_{ij}$).

Hint: Make use of a complete, discrete set of orthonormal Eigenstates to evaluate the traces.