

**Exercise 1: What does spin 1/2 mean?**

In the lecture you discussed another irreducible representation of the Lorentz group, the spinor representation

$$S(\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{i}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]. \quad (1)$$

Consider a rotation around the z-axis

$$(\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu)(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\omega) & -\sin(\omega) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\omega) & \cos(\omega) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

It is possible to rewrite the Lorentz transformation of a spinor the following way

$$S(\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{i}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mu\nu} = \omega \cdot \Omega_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega^\mu{}_\nu = \left. \frac{d\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu}{d\omega} \right|_{\omega=0} \quad (3)$$

Using the explicit expression for  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ , express the exponential of  $S(\Lambda)$  in terms of  $\hat{S}^3$ , known from the lecture. Calculate the matrix exponential and use your results to compare the behavior of a spinor  $\psi' = S(\Lambda)\psi$ , to that of a vector  $A^\mu = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu A^\nu$  when rotated by  $\omega = 2\pi$ .

**Exercise 2: Bilinear covariants I**

i) Use the definition of the gamma matrices from the lecture, to show that

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}. \quad (4)$$

Knowing that  $\gamma_0^\dagger = \gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_i^\dagger = -\gamma_i$ , use your previous results to show that

$$\gamma^{\mu\dagger} = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0. \quad (5)$$

ii) In the lecture you found the following Lorentz transformation of a Dirac spinor  $\psi$

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x') = S(\Lambda)\psi(x), \quad S(\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{i}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (6)$$

with  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ .

Furthermore you have shown that  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  is a Lorentz scalar, therefore the inverse transformation is given by

$$\bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x')S^{-1}(\Lambda), \quad S^{-1}(\Lambda) = e^{\frac{i}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (7)$$

Show that  $S^{-1}(\Lambda)$  can also be written as

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda) = (\gamma^0 S(\Lambda) \gamma^0)^\dagger \quad (8)$$

(instead of  $S^{-1}(\Lambda) = S^\dagger(\Lambda)$  as one would expect naively).

*Hint: Use the result of i)*

### Exercise 3: Bilinear covariants II

Recall the representation of an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu + \delta\omega^\mu{}_\nu \quad (9)$$

and the Lorentz transformation of a spinor

$$S(\Lambda) = 1 - \frac{i}{4}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\delta\omega^{\mu\nu} + o(\delta\omega^2), \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]. \quad (10)$$

i) Using these transformations, show that the equation

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda)\gamma^\mu S(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu\gamma^\nu, \quad (11)$$

familiar from the lecture, is satisfied up to first order in  $\delta\omega$ .

ii) In the lecture you showed that  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  transforms as a Lorentz scalar and  $\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$  transforms as a Lorentz vector. Furthermore you introduced an additional gamma matrix  $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$  with the transformation property

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda)\gamma^5 S(\Lambda) = \det(\Lambda)\gamma^5. \quad (12)$$

Prove the behavior under Lorentz transformation of the following bilinear covariants

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi \rightarrow \det(\Lambda)\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi \quad \text{pseudoscalar} \quad (13)$$

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\psi \rightarrow \det(\Lambda)\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\gamma^5\psi \quad \text{axial vector} \quad (14)$$

$$\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\psi \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu{}_\alpha\Lambda^\nu{}_\beta\bar{\psi}\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\psi \quad \text{antisymmetric tensor} \quad (15)$$

*Hint: Equation (11) will be very useful.*