

Kieran Boyle (RIKEN BNL Research Center)



Structure of the Nucleon

- The nucleon is a composite particle, made up of quarks and gluons
- Properties of the proton arise from properties of the constituents

 ^{ZEUS}
 ^{ZEUS}
 - Charge
 - From quarks
 - Momentum
 - From quarks and gluons
 - Spin
 - Polarized DIS data limited in x and Q²
 - Access with other methods





Spin Structure: Two ways

• As rotations and boosts don't commute, longitudinal and transverse spin structure are not the same



• At RHIC, we're interested in both.

<u>Outline</u>

- Gluon helicity
- Sea quark helicity
- Transverse spin



The RHIC Facility



- √s=62.4–500 GeV
 - Most data at 200 GeV
 - Short 62.4 GeV run in 2006
 - First long 500 GeV run now
- Polarization
 - 57% at 200 GeV
 - 45% at 500 GeV

- STAR and PHENIX
 - transverse or longitudinal polarization
- BRAHMS
 - only Transverse
 - was decomissioned after 2006.



Experiments







_



Excited QCD

Kieran Boyle 6









Accessing ΔG in p+p Collisions







Excited QCD

Kieran Boyle 9







Excited QCD

Kieran Boyle 10

Accessing ΔG in p+p Collisions



- If $\Delta f = \Delta q$, then we have this from pDIS
- So roughly, we have

$$A_{LL} \cong a_{gg}\Delta g^2 + b_{gq}\Delta g\Delta q + c_{qq}\Delta q^2$$



• where the coefficients a, b and c are dependent on final state observable and event kinematics (η, p_T) .





Results



- Jets, π^0 , π^{\pm} , η , Direct photon, etc.
- $A_{LL} = \frac{1}{P_B P_Y} \frac{N_{++} RN_{+-}}{N_{++} + RN_{+-}}$ Due to abundant statistics and specialized triggers, • the most significant constraints currently come from π^0 s (PHENIX) and Jets (STAR).





 $R = \frac{L_{++}}{L_{+}}$

Constraining ΔG







- DSSV fit world date including p+p for first time.
 - PRL101:072001, 2008
 - PRD 80:034030, 2009
- RHIC data offer significant constraint at 0.05<x<0.2.
- Large uncertainty remains below RHIC x range.
- Joint Theory and Experimental group working to get more complete uncertainty.
- 1.5 times more data from 2009.



- Current measurements constrain ∆G in the x range [0.02,0.3]
- Need to constrain ΔG over wide x range
 - \rightarrow Expand the x range
 - $\sqrt{s=62.4 \text{ GeV}} \rightarrow \text{higher x}$ (short run in 2006)
 - $\sqrt{s}=500 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{lower x}$ (first long run now)









- Current measurements constrain ∆G in the x range [0.02,0.3]
- Need to constrain ΔG over wide x range
 - \rightarrow Expand the x range
 - $\sqrt{s=62.4 \text{ GeV}} \rightarrow \text{higher x}$ (short run in 2006)
 - $\sqrt{s}=500 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{lower x}$ (first long run now)
 - Forward rapidity \rightarrow lower x







- Current measurements constrain ∆G in the x range [0.02,0.3]
- Need to constrain ΔG over wide x range
 - \rightarrow Expand the x range
 - $\sqrt{s=62.4 \text{ GeV}} \rightarrow \text{higher x}$ (short run in 2006)
 - $\sqrt{s}=500 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{lower x}$ (first long run now)
 - Forward rapidity \rightarrow lower x







- Current measurements constrain ∆G in the x range [0.02,0.3]
- Need to constrain ΔG over wide x range
 - \rightarrow Expand the x range
 - $\sqrt{s}=62.4 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{higher x}$ (short run in 2006)
 - $\sqrt{s}=500 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \text{lower x}$ (first long run now)
 - Forward rapidity \rightarrow lower x
- Measure $\Delta G(x)$ through correlations
 - Inclusive measurements sample wide x range
 - Correlation measurements access ΔG as a function of x

$$egin{aligned} x_1 &= rac{1}{\sqrt{s}}(p_{T3}e^{\eta_3} + p_{T4}e^{\eta_4}) \ x_2 &= rac{1}{\sqrt{s}}(p_{T3}e^{-\eta_3} + p_{T4}e^{-\eta_4}) \ M &= \sqrt{x_1x_2s} \ \eta_3 + \eta_4 &= \lnrac{x_1}{x_2} \end{aligned}$$









How to get flavor separation?

- 4 ways to get at flavor:
 - $-\ell + p \rightarrow \ell + X$ vs. $\ell + n \rightarrow \ell + X$
 - u and d separation, but not sea quarks.
 - v+p**→**ℓ+X
 - Very small cross section → very large pol. target...not feasible
 - $-\ell + p \rightarrow \ell + hadron + X$ (using favored fragmentation)
 - Small values of hard scale, source of current knowledge
 - $p+p \rightarrow W+X \rightarrow \ell+X$
 - Hard scale $\sim m_W^2$
 - Large asymmetries expected





Coverage of Lepton Rapidity

- Sensitivity to sea quark distributions vary with η of the measured lepton.
- Lepton decay kinematics reduce some sensitivity.





Coverage of Lepton Rapidity

- Sensitivity to sea quark distributions vary with η of the measured lepton.
- Lepton decay kinematics reduce some sensitivity.





Coverage of Lepton Rapidity

- Sensitivity to sea quark distributions vary with η of the measured lepton.
- Lepton decay kinematics reduce some sensitivity.





Results from First \sqrt{s} =500 Run: W[±] A_L





Upgrades for W Physics

- Accessing sea quarks by moving forward
 - Both STAR and PHENIX are currently working on upgrades.



End Cap EMCal can tag electron. TPC does not give enough points for charge sign measurement

→Forward GEM
 Tracker
 To be installed for
 next run





Identification from MUID and charge sign/ mom.

from MUTr. BUT, trigger is dominated by low mom. particles →Resistive Plate Chambers →Forward Tracker in trigger Use bend in track to trigger on high mom.



INSTALLED and TAKING DATA now



Transverse Spin Structure





Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries

- Large single spin transverse spin (~40%) found at low energies
- \rightarrow soft physics effect.
- \rightarrow Generated theoretical work to find mechanism
 - Initial (Siver's) and Final (Collin's) state effects _





$$x_F = 2p_{long} / \sqrt{2}$$



Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries

- Large single spin transverse spin (~40%) found at low energies
- \rightarrow soft physics effect.
- \rightarrow Generated theoretical work to find mechanism
 - Initial (Siver's) and Final (Collin's) state effects







Research Center

Possible Sources



- Still theoretical work needed to understand:
 - Transversity: correlation between proton spin and quark spin
 - Siver's effect: correlation between proton spin and quark transverse motion (k_T) [measured in SIDIS]
 - Collin's effect: correlation between outgoing quark spin and k_T of fragmenting hadron [measured in e⁺e⁻]



More transverse spin results (surprises)





Excited QCD

Conclusions

- The goal of RHIC spin is to understand the nucleon spin structure
- Results for A_{LL} significantly constrain the ΔG
 - Future measurement will extend x range
- W's have been measured at RHIC, with a first look at parity violating asymmetry A_L
- Many surprises in transverse spin physics







Backups



RHIC Performance

[Year	√s [GeV]	[pb ⁻¹]	% Long /Trans.	P [%]	FoM (P ⁴ L)	FoM (P ² I)
ŀ					· [/~]	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	2002	200	0.15	0/100	15		0.0034
	2003	200	0.35	100/0	27	0.0019	
	2004	200	0.12	100/0	40	0.0031	
	2005	200	3.6	95/5	49	0.20	0.035
	2006	200	10	75/25	55	0.79	0.7
	2006	62.4	0.1	80/20	48	0.0042	0.0046
	2008	200	5.2	0/100	46		1.1
	2009	500	~10	100/0	~35	~0.150	~1.2
	2009	200	14	100/0	~55	~1.3	
	2011	500	In Progress		~45		

- From PHENIX. Similar numbers for STAR.
- Significant longitudinal data sets in 2005 and 2006 (and now in 2009)
- Significant transverse data sets in 2006 and 2008
- First 500 GeV run in 2009.



Kinematics

- Expect W produced in direction of high momentum (valence) quark
- However, leptonic decay smears this picture out in some cases.



 $x_1 >> x_2$

$$A_L^{l^-} = \frac{\Delta \overline{u}(x_1)d(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2 - \Delta d(x_1)\overline{u}(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2}{\overline{u}(x_1)d(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2 + d(x_1)\overline{u}(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2}$$
$$A_L^{l^+} = \frac{\Delta \overline{d}(x_1)u(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2 - \Delta u(x_1)\overline{d}(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2}{\overline{d}(x_1)u(x_2)(1+\cos\theta)^2 + u(x_1)\overline{d}(x_2)(1-\cos\theta)^2}$$
$$\theta = \text{lepton angle in partonic c.m.s.}$$



Inclusion of New 2009 PHENIX $\pi^0\,A_{LL}$

• 2009 RHIC run was 1.5*more luminoisty.

– Include new preliminary $\pi 0 A_{LL}$ data from PHENIX





KB, S. Taneja, C. Gal, A. Deshpande, D. deFlorian, R. Sassot, M Stratmann, W. Vogelsang



