



# Precision measurements of radiative charged Kaon decays at NA48/2

Andrea Bizzeti University of Modena and Reggio Emilia and INFN – Sezione di Firenze

on behalf of the NA48/2 Collaboration

Cambridge, CERN, Chicago, Dubna, Edinburgh, Ferrara, Firenze, Mainz, Northwestern, Perugia, Pisa, Saclay, Siegen, Torino, Vienna

Excited QCD 09, Zakopane, february 11, 2009

### Outline

- The NA48/2 experiment at CERN: beam and detector
- Study of the decays:
  - $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$  first observation of DE-IB interference
  - $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$  high statistics
  - $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^- \gamma$  first observation of the decay
  - $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{+}e^{-}$  BR and Form Factor
- Conclusions

### The NA48/2 beam line



### The NA48 detector

- Magnetic spectrometer : 4 DCHs -> redundancy Δp/p = 1.0% + 0.044% · p [GeV/c]
- Liquid Krypton EM calorimeter (LKr) : High granularity, quasi-homogeneous  $\Delta E/E = 3.2\%/\sqrt{E[GeV] + 9\%/E[GeV] + 0.42\%}$
- Scintillators hodoscope (2 planes) : fast trigger precise time measurement (150ps)
- hadron calorimeter
- muon veto counters
- photon vetoes



#### The NA48/2 data



2003 run: ~ 50 days 2004 run: ~ 60 days

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathrm{K}}_{\underline{3}\underline{\pi}} \ \underline{\mathrm{statistics}} \ \underline{\mathrm{in}} \ \underline{2} \ \underline{\mathrm{years:}} \\ \mathbf{\mathrm{K}}^{\pm} \rightarrow \ \pi^{\pm} \ \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \ \mathbf{:} \ \sim 4 \cdot 10^{9} \\ \mathbf{\mathrm{K}}^{\pm} \rightarrow \ \pi^{\pm} \ \pi^{0} \ \pi^{0} \ \mathbf{:} \ \sim 1 \cdot 10^{8} \end{array}$ 

<u>Rare K<sup>±</sup> decays:</u> Possibility to measure BRs down to 10<sup>-9</sup>

>200 TB of data recorded

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ 

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : theory

Two amplitudes contribute to this decay:



**IB** : electric dipole, calculable (related to  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$  by Low's theorem)

**DE** : electric (E) and magnetic (M) amplitudes, both O(p<sup>4</sup>) in ChPT :

- E : non predictable, interferes with IB amplitude
- M: due to chiral anomaly (calculable) and direct contributions (non predictable)

### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : separating DE from IB

IB, DE amplitudes depend on 2 kinematical variables:

 $T^*_{\pi} = \pi^{\pm}$  kinetic energy in the K  $\pm$  rest frame

$$W^{2} = \frac{(P_{K} \cdot P_{\gamma})(P_{\pi} \cdot P_{\gamma})}{(m_{K}m_{\pi})^{2}}$$

The decay width contains 3 terms (IB, **DE** and their interference INT), which can be disentangled using W variable (and integrating over  $T^*_{\pi}$ ):



 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : T<sup>\*</sup><sub>π</sub> region



**55 MeV < T**<sup>\*</sup><sub> $\pi$ </sub> < **90 MeV** region used in previous analyses to reject BG (mainly  $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}$  and  $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ ). But.... this excludes most of the DE events



#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : event selection

Analysis of data taken in 2003
 Require at least 1 track and 3 photons
 Apply acceptance and BG-rejection cuts
 [ M<sub>inv</sub>(π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>0</sup>γ), P<sub>tot</sub> direction ]



 $M_{inv}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\gamma)$  [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

- residual **BG** due only to  $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\pi^{0}$ , <1% of DE
- $\gamma$  mistagging probability (self BG)  $\approx 10^{-3}$

#### $K^{\pm}$ → $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\gamma$ : fit result

Fit the W data spectrum using MC shapes: **N(W)<sub>data</sub>=(1-A-B)N(W)<sub>IB</sub>+AN(W)<sub>DE</sub>+BN(W)<sub>INT</sub>** with **A** and **B** free parameters

- A and B highly correlated (corr. = -0.92)
- systematic dominated by trigger eff.
- **B**≠0 : first evidence of **INT** term



 $Frac(DE)_{0 < T^* \pi < 80 \text{ MeV}} = (3.35 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.25) \%$ Frac(INT)\_{0 < T^\* \pi < 80 \text{ MeV}} = (-2.67 \pm 0.81 \pm 0.73) \%



Frac INI

-0.01

-0.02

0.045

Frac DE

0.05

CL 68 %

## $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : outlook

- ✓ complete NA48/2 data set (2003+2004) being analysed:
- $\rightarrow$  larger statistics (4x);
- $\rightarrow$  lower systematics (trigger)
- $\rightarrow$  also search for CP violating charge asymmetry

$$A_{CP} = \frac{B(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma) - B(K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma)}{B(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma) + B(K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma)}$$

with  $\cong 10^{-3}$  sensitivity

 $\rightarrow$  final result will be ready soon



 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$ 

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\gamma\gamma$ : Theory



#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}$ γγ: Branching ratio

ChPT O(p<sup>4</sup>) predicts a dependence of the BR on the parameter  $\hat{c}$ : BR (K<sup>±</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\gamma\gamma$ ) = ( 5.26 + 1.64 $\cdot\hat{c}$  + 0.32 $\cdot\hat{c}^{2}$  + 0.49 )  $\cdot$  10<sup>-7</sup> > 4  $\cdot$  10<sup>-7</sup> [ Ecker, Pich, De Rafael, Nucl.Phys.B 303 (1998), 665 ]



- Experimental goals:
   Measurement of BR and ĉ
- Existing measurement: BR = (1.10 ± 0.32) × 10<sup>-6</sup>
   ĉ = 1.8 ± 0.6
   [BNL E787 (1991), 31 evts.]

A. Bizzeti - Excited QCD 09 - Zakopane 11/02/2009

#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$ : Statistics

Data sample: 2003 data (40% of full statistics)



#### Data 2200 2000 MC $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$ $M(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0})$ 1800 1600 1400 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 0.475 0.48 0.505 0.485 0.49 0.495 0.5 0.51 m<sub>πvv</sub> [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

#### Signal :

- 1 track + 2 photons + kinematical cuts
- **1164 events** (~40× world sample)
- Background: 3.3% (eval. from MC) from  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$  (IB)

Normalization channel  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^0$  :

- Same particles in final state → first order cancellation of systematics
- Very large sample (6M events) with known BR

#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$ : preliminary results



• Clear evidence for the cusp at  $m_{\gamma\gamma} = 0.28 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ 

Good agreement with O(p<sup>6</sup>)
 ĉ=2 generated MC sample

$$BR(K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma) = (1.07 \pm 0.04_{stat} \pm 0.08_{syst}) \times 10^{-6}$$
(preliminary, model dependent)

Next tasks:

- analysis of full data sample (~6000 events)
- ĉ measurement

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{+}e^{-} \gamma$ 

### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^- \gamma$ : Theory

- Theory is similar to  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$  decay [Gabbiani, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999), 094022]
- Cusp  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ =2 $m_{\pi}$  expected by O(p<sup>4</sup>) ChPT
- Naïve expectation: BR( $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{+} e^{-} \gamma$ ) ~ BR( $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$ ) · 2 $\alpha$  ~ 1.6 · 10<sup>-8</sup>
- ChPT uncertainty dominated by  $\boldsymbol{\hat{c}}$
- $O(p^6)$  increases BR of ~40% wrt  $O(p^4)$

ChPT O(p<sup>6</sup>) predicts BR = (0.9 ~ 1.7) × 10<sup>-8</sup>

#### Experimental goals

- Model-independent BR measurement (limited to visible kinematical range)
- Determination of **ĉ** from the data
- Use **ĉ** to compute model-dependent BR (in the full kinematical range)

#### Existing measurement:

Never observed



#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^- \gamma$ : event selection

Data sample: 2003 and 2004 NA48/2  $K^{\pm}$  runs.

Event selection: 3 tracks + 1 photon,  $e^{\pm}$  ID(E/p), kin. cuts (  $M_{ee\gamma}$ ,  $M_{\pi ee\gamma}$ ,  $\vartheta_{e\gamma}$  )



### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^- \gamma$ : results

- Model independent BR measured in bins of M<sub>eeγ</sub> (each bin 5 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> wide)
- Least squares fit on M<sub>eeγ</sub> to extract ĉ
- Main systematics:
  - BG subtraction
  - normalization
  - MC statistics

#### NA48/2 final results:



$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{BR}_{\mathsf{MI}} = (1.19 \pm 0.12_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.04_{\mathsf{syst}}) \times 10^{-8} \ (\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{ee}\gamma} > 0.260 \ \mathsf{MeV/c^2}, \ \mathsf{model} \ \mathsf{independent}) \\ &\hat{\mathsf{c}} = 0.90 \pm 0.45 \quad (1.2\sigma \ \mathsf{from} \ \mathsf{BNL} \ \mathsf{E787} \ \mathsf{result} \ \mathsf{in} \ \mathsf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma: \ \hat{\mathsf{c}} = 1.8 \pm 0.6) \\ &\mathsf{BR} = (1.29 \pm 0.13_{\mathsf{exp}} \pm 0.03_{\hat{\mathsf{c}}}) \times 10^{-8} \ (\mathsf{model} \ \mathsf{dependent}, \ \mathsf{assuming} \ \hat{\mathsf{c}} = 0.9 \pm 0.45 \ ) \end{split}$$

#### Errors dominated by statistics

Phys. Lett. B 695 (2008) 493

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{+}e^{-}$ 

#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^-$ : Theory

- Suppressed FCNC process
  Loop -induced decay (K<sup>±</sup>→π<sup>±</sup>γ<sup>\*</sup>)



$$d\Gamma/dz = P(z) |W(z)|^2$$
  
P(z) = phase space factor  
 $z = (M_{ee}/M_K)^2$ 

- Different models tested for W(z):
  - Polynomial:  $W(z) = G_F M_K^2 f_0 \cdot (1 + \delta \cdot z)$
  - ChPT O(p<sup>6</sup>): W(z) =  $G_F M_K^2 \cdot (a_+ + b_+ z) + W^{\pi\pi}(z)$  [JHEP 8 (1998) 4]
  - "Dubna" ChPT:  $W(z) = W(M_a, M_o, z)$ [hep-ph/0611175]

 $(f_0, \delta)$  or  $(a_+, b_+)$  or  $(M_a, M_o)$  fully determine a model-dependent BR

- Experimental goals:
  - model-independent BR(z>0.8) in accepted kinematical range
  - for each model: parameters and BR in the full kinematical range
- Previous measurements: BR =  $(2.94 \pm 0.15) \cdot 10^{-7}$  [BNL E865 (1999), 10300 evts ]

### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^-$ : event selection

- Data sample: 2003 + 2004 runs
- Event selection: 3 tracks, particle ID (E/p), invariant mass cut
- Background subtraction:
  - □ MC used only to identify BG
  - BG by particle misID ( $\pi^{\pm} \leftrightarrow e^{\pm}$ ) in  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}{}_{D}$  and  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}\nu\pi^{0}{}_{D}$ ) estimated directly on data using "same sign" ( $\pi^{+}e^{-}e^{-}$ ) events
- Normalization channel:  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}{}_{D} \rightarrow \pi^{+} e^{+}e^{-} \gamma$ 
  - Same final state as the signal, plus one photon
  - □ Same charged particles → first order cancellation of systematics (trigger and PID inefficiency) in the BR ratio
  - Large sample with known BR.





•  $M_{ee} > 140 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  ( z > 0.08 ) : signal region

- □  $M_{ee} < 140 MeV/c^2$  dominated by background (K<sup>±</sup>→ $\pi^+\pi^0_D$ , K<sup>±</sup>→ $\pi^+\pi^0_D$ )
- After BG subtraction, the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$  peak (~500 events) can be seen

#### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^-$ : Statistics



Signal: 7146 candidates

Background: 0.6% (from same sign events), due to particle misID( $\pi^{\pm} \leftrightarrow e^{\pm}$ ) in K<sup>±</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}{}_{D}$ ,  $\pi^{0}{}_{D}e^{\pm}v$ 



Normalization: 12.2×10<sup>6</sup> events
 Background: ~0.15% (K<sup>±</sup>→π<sup>0</sup><sub>D</sub>μ<sup>±</sup>ν)
 BR(π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>0</sup>) from PDG

### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^-$ : Preliminary Results

#### **Measured dΓ/dz** (corrected for acceptance)



Model independent BR (z > 0.08): BR = (2.26 ± 0.03<sub>stat</sub> ± 0.03<sub>syst</sub> ± 0.06<sub>ext</sub>)×10<sup>-7</sup>

Form factors (fit to  $d\Gamma/dz$ ): Polynomial  $\delta = 2.35 \pm 0.15_{stat} \pm 0.09_{syst}$   $f_0 = 0.532 \pm 0.012_{stat} \pm 0.008_{syst} \pm 0.007_{ext}$ ChPT  $a_+ = -0.579 \pm 0.012_{stat} \pm 0.008_{syst} \pm 0.007_{ext}$   $b_+ = -0.798 \pm 0.053_{stat} \pm 0.037_{syst} \pm 0.017_{ext}$ "Dubna" ChPT (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)  $M_a = 0.965 \pm 0.028_{stat} \pm 0.018_{syst} \pm 0.002_{ext}$  $M_{\rho} = 0.711 \pm 0.010_{stat} \pm 0.007_{syst} \pm 0.002_{ext}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{BR} = (3.08 \pm 0.04_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.04_{\mathsf{syst}} \pm 0.08_{\mathsf{ext}} \pm 0.07_{\mathsf{model}}) \times 10^{-7} \\ & \text{(including uncertainty due to model dependence), in agreement with BNL E865 result} \\ & \mathsf{CPV} \text{ asymm.: } (\mathsf{BR}^+ - \mathsf{BR}^-)/(\mathsf{BR}^+ + \mathsf{BR}^-) = (-2.1 \pm 1.5_{\mathsf{stat}} \pm 0.3_{\mathsf{syst}})\% \text{ (first measurement)} \end{aligned}$ 

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ 

 Previous experiments:
 <800 world sample (BNL E865 ~400, HyperCP@FNAL ~110 in 20% of data)
 Poor PDG agreement on BR
 Linear form factor agrees with πee

- Experimental difficulty
   Large BG from K→3π (E865: 6.5%)
- NA48/2 analysis on going
   2003 + 2004 data
   Few thousands of events
   Background O(1%)



#### Conclusions

•  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma$ : first evidence of DE-IB interference in the decay

- Measurement of DE/IB and INT/IB branching ratios
- $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \gamma \gamma$ : statistics improved 40x wrt previous measurements
  - Clear evidence for the  $2\pi$  cusp
  - Measured BR in agreement with ChPT
- $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^+ e^- \gamma$ : first observation
  - Independent evidence for the  $2\pi$  cusp
  - □ Final results on BR and shape analysis (ChPT parameter ĉ)
- $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{+}e^{-}$ : Sample and precision comparable to world's best ones
  - BR and FF in agreement with ChPT and other measurements
  - First limit on the CP violating charge asymmetry
- Large sample of  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$  with small background (analysis on-going)