Kinetic approach to a relativistic Bose-Einstein condensate for massless and massive particles

Richard Lenkiewicz

June 21, 2016

Outline

Introduction

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation

Our system

About Bose-Einstein condensation

Relaxation and Equilibrium

Equilibrium for overpopulated systems

Equilibrium for underpopulated systems

Equation of motion

Numerical methods

Cash-Karp RK45-scheme

Results

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case

About the onset

Massive case

Conclusion and Outlook

Backup

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation Our system About Bose-Einstein condensation

Non-equilibrium

- Non-equilibrium thermodynamics is a branch of thermodynamics that deals with physical systems that are not in thermodynamic equilibrium. [Wikipedia]
- Equilibrium thermodynamics ignores the time-courses of physical processes, in contrast non-equilibrium thermodynamics attempts to describe their time-courses in continuous detail. [Wikipedia]
- Thermalisation is the process of physical systems reaching thermal equilibrium through mutual interaction. [Wikipedia]

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation Our system About Bose-Einstein condensation

BUU-equation

Relativistic evolution equation of a bosonic (including quantum statistics by Bose enhancement) system in non-equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{E_1} \left(p_1^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} + m \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1^{\mu}} K_1^{\mu} \right) f_1 &= \frac{1}{2E_1} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p_2}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_2} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_3}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_4}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_4} W_{12\leftrightarrow 34} \\ &\times \left\{ f_3 f_4 (1+f_1)(1+f_2) - f_1 f_2 (1+f_3)(1+f_4) \right\} \\ &=: \mathcal{C}[2\leftrightarrow 2]. \end{aligned}$$

$$W_{12\leftrightarrow 34} := (2\pi)^4 \frac{|M_{12\leftrightarrow 34}|^2}{\nu} \delta^{(4)} (P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4).$$

Equilibrium f_{eq} ? Detailed balance!

$$\mathcal{C}[2\leftrightarrow 2]\stackrel{!}{=}0.$$

Introduction

Relaxation and Equilibrium Numerical methods Results Conclusion and Outlook Backup

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation Our system About Bose-Einstein condensation

Assumptions

- isotropic system $f(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p}) \rightarrow f(t, \vec{r}, p)$
- ▶ homogeneous system $f(t, \vec{r}, p) \rightarrow f(t, p)$
- vanishing external forces $K^{\mu} = 0$

Detailed balance is satisfied by the Bose-Einstein distribution

$$f_{\rm eq}(E_i) = rac{1}{\exp\left(rac{E_i-\mu}{T}
ight)-1}.$$

The ground state can become macroscopically large $f_{eq}(E_0) \gg 1$. Two cases are considered.

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation Our system About Bose-Einstein condensation

Decreasing the temperature

$$f(E_i) = rac{1}{\exp\left(rac{E_i-\mu}{T}
ight)-1} \stackrel{T o 0}{ o} 0 \quad ext{ for } \quad E_i > E_0 \geq \mu.$$

 \longrightarrow The occupation number of the ground state $f(E_0)$ becomes macroscopically large



Picture: http://www.erbium.at/FF/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/FirstErbiumBEC-1250x350.jpg

The Boltzmann-Uhlen-Uehlenbeck equation Our system About Bose-Einstein condensation

Increasing the particle density

$$f(E_0) = rac{1}{\exp\left(rac{E_0-\mu}{T}
ight)-1} \stackrel{\mu o m}{ o} \infty \quad ext{ for } \quad E_0 = m \geq \mu.$$

 \longrightarrow The occupation number of the ground state $f({\it E}_0)$ becomes macroscopic large

Can be applied to a very early stage of heavy ion collision:



Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

Determining the equilibrium state



Decompose f(t,p) [Semikoz, Tchakev, arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9507306]

$$f(t,ec{p}) = f_{\mathsf{part}}(t,ec{p}>0) + n_c(t)(2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(ec{p})$$

Red known — Blue unknown — Green fixing

•
$$n_{tot} = n_{part,eq} + n_{c,eq}$$
 particle (density) conservation

• $\epsilon_{tot} = \epsilon_{part,eq} + \epsilon_{c,eq}$ energy (density) conservation

$$n_{\text{part,eq}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi^2} p^2 \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{E-\mu_{\text{eq}}}{T_{\text{eq}}}\right) - 1}$$

$$\epsilon_{\text{eq}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi^2} p^2 \frac{E}{E}$$

$$e_{\text{part,eq}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{E - \mu_{\text{eq}}}{T_{\text{eq}}}\right) - 1}$$

•
$$\mu_{eq} = m$$
 and $\epsilon_c = n_c m$

Solve for T_{eq} and $n_{c,eq}$

Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

Numerical solution for the equilibrium values

- $n_{c,eq}$ n_{tot} 0.50 0.60 0.45 0.00 0.30 -0.50 0.15 -1.00 0.00 -1.50 -0.15-2.00 -0.30 -2.50 -0.45 -3 00 -0.60 0.0 1.0 0.8 m GeVI 0.0 2.0 1.5 J.0 0.5
- The blue shaded area suggest a negative condensate density which is not physical
- Condition µ_{eq} = m does not apply (underpopulated case)

 \triangleright $Q_{\rm s} = 1 {\rm GeV}$



Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

massless case m = 0

Equilibrium state is given analytically

$$\begin{split} \mu_{eq} &= 0, \qquad \epsilon_{c,eq} = 0 \\ \epsilon_{tot,eq} &= \frac{f_0 Q_s^4}{8\pi^2} \stackrel{!}{=} \frac{\pi^2 T_{eq}^4}{30} = \epsilon_{part,eq} \longrightarrow T_{eq} = \sqrt[4]{f_0 15} \frac{Q_s}{2\pi} \\ n_{tot,eq} &= \frac{f_0 Q_s^3}{6\pi^2}, \qquad n_{part,eq} = (15f_0)^{\frac{3}{4}} \frac{Q_s^3 \zeta(3)}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^5} \\ n_{c,eq} &= \frac{f_0 Q_s^3}{6\pi^2} - (15f_0)^{\frac{3}{4}} \frac{Q_s^3 \zeta(3)}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^5} \\ n_{c,eq} &= 0 \longrightarrow f_0 \approx 0.154 \text{ (the critical case)} \end{split}$$

Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

Equilibrium for underpopulated systems

Solve for T_{eq} and μ_{eq} :

$$n_{\text{tot}} = n_{\text{part,eq}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi^2} p^2 f_{\text{eq}}(\mu_{\text{eq}}, T_{\text{eq}})$$
$$\epsilon_{\text{tot}} = \epsilon_{\text{part,eq}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi^2} p^2 E f_{\text{eq}}(\mu_{\text{eq}}, T_{\text{eq}})$$

Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

$$f(t, \vec{p}) \to f(t, \vec{p}) = f_{\text{part}}(t, \vec{p} > 0) + \underbrace{n_c(t)(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p})}_{=:f_c}$$

Set of 2 coupled first order differential equation.
 Evolution equation for the BEC

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{c,1}}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2E_1} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p_2}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_2} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_3}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_4}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_4} \\ &\times (2\pi)^4 \frac{|M_{12\leftrightarrow 34}|^2}{\nu} \delta^4 (P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) \\ &\times \{f_{c,1} f_3 f_4 - f_{c,1} f_2 (1 + f_3 + f_4)\} =: \mathcal{C}[1c + 1 \leftrightarrow 2] \end{aligned}$$

Following a integration over \vec{p}_1

$$\frac{\partial n_{\mathsf{c}}}{\partial t} = \int \frac{\mathsf{d}^{3}\vec{p}_{1}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \mathcal{C}[\mathsf{1c} + \mathsf{1} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{2}]$$

Evolution equation for the higher modes

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} &= \frac{1}{2E_1} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p_2}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_2} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_3}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_4}}{2(2\pi)^3 E_4} \\ &\times (2\pi)^4 \frac{|M_{12\leftrightarrow 34}|^2}{\nu} \delta^4 (P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) \\ &\times \left[\{f_3 f_4 (f_1 + 1) (f_2 + 1) - f_1 f_2 (f_3 + 1) (f_4 + 1)\} \right. \\ &+ \{f_{c,2} f_3 f_4 - f_{c,2} f_1 (1 + f_3 + f_4)\} \\ &+ \{(1 + f_1 + f_2) f_{c,3} f_4 - f_{c,3} f_1 f_2\} \\ &+ \{(1 + f_1 + f_2) f_{c,4} f_3 - f_{c,4} f_1 f_2\} \right] \\ &:= \mathcal{C}[2 \leftrightarrow 2] + \mathcal{C}[1 + 1c \leftrightarrow 2] \end{split}$$

- 9 dimensional Integrals are not practical for our numerical approach
- $\frac{|M_{12\leftrightarrow 34}|^2}{\nu} \propto s = (P_1 + P_2)^2 \rightarrow \text{integrate out every angular}$ dependencies and also the internal momenta $\tilde{\rho_4}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_c}{\partial t} &= n_c \frac{9\lambda^2}{64\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dp_2 \int_0^\infty dp_3 \frac{p_2 p_3}{m_1 E_2 E_3} \\ &\times \left[-1 - \epsilon (p_2 - p_3 - \tilde{p}_4) + \epsilon (p_2 + p_3 - \tilde{p}_4) + \epsilon (p_2 - p_3 + \tilde{p}_4) \right] \\ &\times \left(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2m_1 E_2 \right) \theta(\tilde{p}_4^2) [f_3 f_4 - f_2 (1 + f_3 + f_4)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = \underbrace{\mathcal{C}[2 \leftrightarrow 2]}_{\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{C}[1 + 1c \leftrightarrow 2]}_{3 \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^+}, \ \alpha n_c}$$

Equilibrium for overpopulated systems Equilibrium for underpopulated systems Equation of motion Intermediate summary

What we have so far

A given initial state with the mass of the particles m:

A final determined state:

$$f_{\text{init}}(p) = f_0 \theta \left(1 - \frac{p}{Q_s} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{EoM}} f_{\text{eq}}(p) = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{p^2 + m^2} - \mu_{\text{eq}}}{T_{\text{eq}}}\right) - 1} + n_{c,\text{eq}}(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p})$$

- Inclusion of massles condensate particles is possible in contrast to ^{|M_{12↔34}|²}/_ν ∝ const. [arXiv:1510.04552]</sub>
- Analytic solution? Researched field [arxiv:1507.07834]
- Numerical evaluation!

Cash-Karp RK45-scheme Applying on the Boltzmann-equation

$$f_{i+1}^{\mathsf{Euler}} = f_i^{\mathsf{Euler}} + k_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i+1}^{\mathsf{RK4}} &= f_i^{\mathsf{RK4}} + \frac{2825}{27648}k_{i,1} + \frac{18575}{48384}k_{i,3} + \frac{13525}{55296}k_{i,4} - \frac{277}{14336}k_{i,5} + \frac{1}{4}k_{i,6} \\ f_{i+1}^{\mathsf{RK5}} &= f_i^{\mathsf{RK5}} + \frac{37}{378}k_{i,1} + \frac{250}{621}k_{i,3} + \frac{125}{594}k_{i,4} - \frac{1}{5}k_{i,6} \end{aligned}$$

[Transactions on Mathematical Software 16: 201-222, 1990. doi:10.1145/79505.79507]

- two approximations of order 4 and 5
- no additional computation time for the second approximation
- compare the approximations
- Cash-Karp method involves $h_{\text{new}} = sh_{\text{old}}$

$$s = \left| \frac{\epsilon_{\text{tol}}}{f_{i+1}^{\text{RK5}} - f_{i+1}^{\text{RK4}}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Cash-Karp RK45-scheme Applying on the Boltzmann-equation

Applying on the Boltzmann-equation

- ▶ $f_{part}(p)$ is given on a Grid $G := \{p^0, p^1, ..., p^i, ..., p^N\}$ with $p^0 < p^1 < ... < p^i < ... < p^N (N > 100)$
- then solve the Boltzmann equation independently for every Grid point (external momenta pⁱ) by applying the RKCK45 scheme.
- to evaluate the collision integrals we apply quadrature methods (trapezoidal, Simpson)

Cash-Karp RK45-scheme Applying on the Boltzmann-equation

Condensation onset

onset:= Starting time of condensation

- ▶ the condensation process $\dot{n}_c \propto n_c$ happens only for $n_c \neq 0$
- BEC is a phenomena which arises due to fluctuations and are not included in this approach
- two Possibilities to include condensation are:

1. initialising with a finite but negligibly small condensate seed $n_c \ll n_{\rm tot}$

2. inserting a small condensate seed $n_c \ll n_{\rm tot}$ when the distribution function reaches a certain point

extraction of 2 parameters (µ_{eff}, T_{eff}) by fitting the Bose distribution to f_{part} and then inserting the seed when the condition µ_{eff} = m is given

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Before The result:

- Transport code BAMPS [Greiner arXiv:hep-ph/0406278]
 (= Boltzmann Approach to Multi-Parton Scatterings)
- Test particle Ansatz
- Box calculatation

Our code: smooth lines BAMPS: shaky lines

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Underpopulated massless case



Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Underpopulated massless case



• No condensation since $\mu_{\text{eff}} < m$

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Critical massless case



Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Critical massless case



• No condensation since μ_{eff} converges to the mass (0GeV)

Clip

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Thermalisation time



• focus $f(t = 2.0[\text{fm/c}], p) \times \times \times \times \times$

 Increasing the total particle density leads to a faster thermalisation-consistent

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Overpopulated massless case



Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Overpopulated massless case



Maybe just a minor bug? A time-shift?

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Condensate evolution



About the onset (onset:= Starting time of condensation)



- method 1: Starting with condensate seed
- method 2: Inserting a seed when $\mu_{eff} = 0$
- method 3: Any time

Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case



Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Overpopulated massive m = 25 MeV case



Before the onset

After the onset



Underpopulated/Critical/Overpopulated case About the onset Massive case

Overpopulated massive m = 25 MeV case





Conclusion and Outlook

- All our simulations thermalize into equilibrium.
- The evolution of our system without condensate $\dot{f}_1 = C[2 \leftrightarrow 2]$ is in a good agreement with BAMPS.
- Overpopulated systems differs by a time shift later while Equilibration is still given
- The different onset methods are equivalent.
- A new BAMPS run is going on to set the onset manually at a earlier time.
- Further numerical tests have to include a comparison with the analytic solution for a classical system in [arxiv:1507.07834]:
- Adapting this scheme for longitudinal expanding (anisotropic) systems (Bjorken coordinates).

Thank You!



Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test



f

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

Eulers method

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \mathcal{C}(t,f) \ , \ f(t_0) = f_0 \\ & \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=t_i} = \mathcal{C}(t_i,f_i) \\ f_t &= f_0 + \mathcal{C}(t_0,f_0)(t-t_0) \\ f_{i+1} &= f_i + \mathcal{C}(t_i,f_i)(t_{i+1}-t_i) \end{aligned}$$

Using a uniform step size $h := t_{i+1} + t_i = const$. and substituting $k_i = hC(t_i, f_i)$ one ends up ith:

$$f_{i+1}^{\mathsf{Euler}} = f_i + k_i$$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

Cash-Karp RK45 -scheme

starting point: 2 approximations whereby both approximations need the evaluation of the following six values

$$\begin{aligned} k_{i,1} &= h\mathcal{C}(t_i, f_i) \\ k_{i,2} &= h\mathcal{C}\left(t_i + \frac{1}{5}h, f_i + \frac{1}{5}k_{i,1}\right) \\ k_{i,3} &= h\mathcal{C}\left(t_i + \frac{3}{10}h, f_i + \frac{3}{40}k_{i,1} + \frac{9}{40}k_{i,2}\right) \\ k_{i,4} &= h\mathcal{C}\left(t_i + \frac{3}{5}h, f_i + \frac{3}{10}k_{i,1} - \frac{9}{10}k_{i,2} + \frac{6}{5}k_{i,3}\right) \\ k_{i,5} &= h\mathcal{C}\left(t_i + h, f_i - \frac{11}{54}k_{i,1} + \frac{5}{2}k_{i,2} - \frac{70}{27}k_{i,3} + \frac{35}{27}k_{i,4}\right) \\ k_{i,6} &= h\mathcal{C}\left(t_i + \frac{7}{8}h, f_i + \frac{1631}{55296}k_{i,1} + \frac{175}{512}k_{i,2} + \frac{575}{13824}k_{i,3} + \frac{44275}{110592}k_{i,4} - \frac{253}{4096}k_{i,5}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

illustration



Given an first order ODE with the following IVP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \cos^2(t) + \tan(t)f$$
$$f(0) = 2$$

and its analytic solution

 $f(t) = [\sin(t)+2]\cos(t)$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

illustration



Given an first order ODE with the following IVP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \cos^2(t) + \tan(t)f$$
$$f(0) = 2$$

and its analytic solution

 $f(t) = [\sin(t)+2]\cos(t)$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

illustration



Given an first order ODE with the following IVP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \cos^2(t) + \tan(t)f$$
$$f(0) = 2$$

and its analytic solution

 $f(t) = [\sin(t) + 2]\cos(t)$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes A test

illustration



Given an first order ODE with the following IVP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t} = \cos^2(t) + \tan(t)f$$
$$f(0) = 2$$

and its analytic solution

 $f(t) = [\sin(t) + 2]\cos(t)$

Scaling behaviour Details on the numerical schemes

A test

Overpopulated massless case $f_0 = 0.4$

our code initialised with a seed and in BAMPS the condensate evolution is prohibited

