Dilepton Production at SPS Energies

Hendrik van Hees

Texas A&M University

December 17, 2007

Outline

Electromagnetic probes in heavy-ion collisions

- $\gamma, \ell^{\pm}$: no strong interactions
- reflect whole "history" of collision:
  - from pre-equilibrium phase
  - from thermalized medium QGP and hot hadron gas
  - from VM decays after thermal freezeout





Fig. by A. Drees

In-medium spectral functions and baryon effects



- baryon effects important $\leftrightarrow$ $N_B + N_{\bar{B}}$ relevant (not $N_B - N_{\bar{B}}$)
  - large contribution to broadening of the peak
  - responsible for most of the strength at small M

Fireball and Thermodynamics

- cylindrical fireball model: $V_{\mathsf{FB}} = \pi(z_0 + v_{z0}t + \frac{a_z}{2}t^2)\left(\frac{a_\perp}{2}t^2 + r_0\right)^2$
- thermodynamics:
    - isentropic expansion; S_{tot} fixed by N_{ch}; $T_c = T_{\mathsf{chem}} = 175$ MeV
    - $T > T_c$: massless gas for QGP with $N_f^{\mathsf{eff}} = 2.3$
    - mixed phase: $f_{\mathrm{HG}}(t) = [s_c^{\mathrm{QGP}} - s(t)]/[s_c^{\mathsf{QGP}} - s_c^{\mathsf{HG}}]$
    - $T < T_c$: hadron-resonance gas
- $\Rightarrow T(t), \mu_{\mathsf{baryon,meson}}(t)$
- chemical freezeout:
    - $\mu_N^{\mathsf{chem}} = 232$ MeV
    - hadron ratios fixed $\Rightarrow \mu_N, \mu_\pi, \mu_K, \mu_\eta$ at fixed $s/\varrho_B = 27$
- thermal freezeout: $(T_{\mathsf{fo}}, \mu_\pi^{\mathsf{fo}}) \simeq (120, 80)$ MeV



Flow and particle/resonance distributions

- assume local thermal equilibrium: $T(t)$
- collective radial flow: $u(t,\vec{x}) = 1/\sqrt{1-\vec{v}^2}(1,\vec{v})$
- $\vec{v}(t,\vec{x}) = a_\perp t \, \vec{x}_\perp / R(t)$
- phase-space distribution for hadrons

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_i}{\mathrm{d}^3\vec{p}\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}} = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} f_{B/F}\left(\frac{p \cdot u(t,\vec{x})}{T(t)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i(t)}{T(t)}\right)$$

- NB:
  - covariant notation $\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}\mathrm{d}^3\vec{p} = p_\mu \mathrm{d}\sigma^\mu \mathrm{d}^3\vec{p}/\sqrt{\vec{p}^2+m^2}$
  - $pu(t,\vec{x}) = \overline{p_0}$: energy of particle in rest frame of fluid cell
- phase-space distribution for bosonic resonances:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_i}{\mathrm{d}^4 p \mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}} = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^4} f_B\left(\frac{p \cdot u(t,\vec{x})}{T(t)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i(t)}{T(t)}\right) [-2p_0 \mathrm{Im}\, D_i(p)]$$

- $D_i(p)$: propagator of resonance,
  $A_i(p) = -2\,\mathrm{Im}\, D_i(p)$: spectral function

- model assumption: vector-meson dominance



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\mathrm{MT})}_{\rho\to l^+l^-}}{\mathrm{d}^4x\,\mathrm{d}^4q} = \frac{M}{q^0}\Gamma_{\rho\to l^+l^-}(M)\frac{\mathrm{d}N_\rho}{\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}\,\mathrm{d}^4q}$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^3}\frac{L(M^2)}{M^2}\frac{m_\rho^4}{g_\rho^2} g_{\mu\nu}\,\mathrm{Im}\, D_\rho^{\mu\nu}(M,\vec{q}) f_B\left(\frac{q\cdot u}{T(t)}\right)\exp\left(\frac{2\mu_\pi(t)}{T(t)}\right)$$

- special case of McLerran-Toimela (MT) formula
- $M^2 = q^2$: invariant mass, M, of dilepton pair
- $L(M^2) = (1+2m_l^2/M^2)\sqrt{1-4m_l^2/M^2}$: dilepton phase-space factor
- $D_\rho^{\mu\nu}(M,\vec{q})$: (four-transverse part of) in-medium ρ propagator at given $T(t)$, $\mu_{\text{meson/baryon}}(t)$
- analogous for ω and ϕ

Radiation from thermal sources: $q\bar{q}$ annihilation

- General: McLerran-Toimela formula

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\mathsf{MT})}_{l^+l^-}}{\mathrm{d}^4x\mathrm{d}^4q} = -\frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^3}\frac{L(M^2)}{M^2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathrm{Im} \sum_i \Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\mathsf{em},i}(M,\vec{q}) f_B\left(\frac{q\cdot u}{T(t)}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i(t)}{T(t)}\right)$$

- i enumerates partonic/hadronic sources of em. currents
- in-medium em. current-current correlation function

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{\mathsf{em},i} = \mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{d}^4x \exp(\mathrm{i}qx)\Theta(x^0) \left\langle \left[j^{\mu}_{\mathsf{em},i}(x), j^{\nu}_{\mathsf{em},i}(x) \right]_- \right\rangle$$

- in QGP phase: $q\bar{q}$ annihilation
- HTL improved electromagnetic current correlator

$$-\mathrm{i}\Pi_{\mathrm{em,QGP}} =$$



Radiation from thermal sources: multi-π processes

- use vector/axial-vector correlators from τ-decay data
- Dey-Eletsky-Ioffe mixing: $\hat{\varepsilon} = 1/2\varepsilon(T, \mu_\pi)/\varepsilon(T_c, 0)$

$$\Pi_V = (1 - \hat{\varepsilon}) z_\pi^4 \Pi_{V,4\pi}^{\text{vac}} + \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2} z_\pi^3 \Pi_{A,3\pi}^{\text{vac}} + \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}}{2} (z_\pi^4 + z_\pi^5) \Pi_{A,5\pi}^{\text{vac}}$$

- avoid double counting: leave out two-pion piece and $a_1 \to \rho + \pi$ (already contained in ρ spectral function)





Radiation from thermal sources: Meson t-channel exchange

- motivation: q_T spectra too soft compared to NA60 data
- thermal contributions not included in models so far



- also for π, a_1

Radiation from thermal sources: Meson t-channel exchange

- t-channel exchange contributions become significant at high momenta



ρ decay after thermal freezeout

- assume "sudden freezeout" at constant "lab time": $t = t_{\text{fo}}$
- then Cooper-Frye formula with $\mathrm{d}\sigma^\mu = (\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}, 0, 0, 0)$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\text{fo})}_{\rho \to l^+ l^-}}{\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}\mathrm{d}^4\vec{q}} = \frac{\Gamma_{l^+l^-}}{\Gamma^{\text{tot}}_\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_i}{\mathrm{d}^3\vec{x}\mathrm{d}^4 q}$$

$$= \frac{q_0}{M} \frac{1}{\Gamma^{\text{tot}}_\rho} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\text{MT})}_{\rho \to l^+ l^-}}{\mathrm{d}^4 x \mathrm{d}^4 q} \right]_{t = t_{\text{fo}}}$$

- use vacuum ρ shape with in-medium width $\Gamma^{\text{tot}}_\rho \simeq 260$ MeV
- NB: Momentum dependence for dilepton spectra from ρ decays after thermal freezeout:

like hadron spectra!

- $\Leftrightarrow$ $l^+ l^-$ from thermal sources softer by Lorentz factor M/q^0 compared to $l^+ l^-$ from decay of freeze-out ρ's

Decay of "primordial" ρ mesons

- ρ mesons, escaping from the fireball without thermalization
- pp data for initial ρ spectra; Cronin effect via "Gaussian smearing"
- Schematic jet-quenching model

$$P_{\text{esc}} = \exp\left(- \int \mathrm{d}t \; \sigma_\rho^{\text{abs}}(t) \; \varrho(t) \right),$$

$$\sigma_\rho^{\text{abs}}(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\text{ph}} = 0.4 \text{ mb} & \text{for} \quad t < q_0/m_\rho \, \tau_{\text{f}} \\ \sigma_{\text{had}} = 5 \text{ mb} & \text{for} \quad t > q_0/m_\rho \, \tau_{\text{f}} \end{cases}$$



- check with pion R_{AA} data
- "primordial ρ's" + freezeout ρ's
- hard q_T spectra including jet quenching

Drell-Yan Annihilation and correlated charm decays

- invariant-mass spectrum for DY pairs

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathsf{DY}}^{AA}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y}\right|_{b=0} = \frac{3}{4\pi R_0^2} A^{4/3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathsf{DY}}^{NN}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathsf{DY}}^{NN}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y} = K \frac{8\pi\alpha}{9sM} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q^2 \left[q(x_1)\bar{q}(x_2) + \bar{q}(x_1)q(x_2)\right]$$

- parton distribution functions: GRV94LO
- higher-order effects
  - K factor
  - non-zero pair q_T: for IMR and HMR fitted by Gaussian spectrum (NA50 procedure)
- extrapolation to LMR: constrained by photon point $M \to 0$

Drell-Yan Annihilation and correlated charm decays

- invariant-mass spectrum for DY pairs

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\text{DY}}^{AA}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y}\right|_{b=0} = \frac{3}{4\pi R_0^2} A^{4/3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\text{DY}}^{NN}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\text{DY}}^{NN}}{\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}y} = K \frac{8\pi\alpha}{9sM} \sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q^2 \left[q(x_1)\bar{q}(x_2) + \bar{q}(x_1)q(x_2)\right]$$

- parton distribution functions: GRV94LO
- higher-order effects
  - K factor
  - non-zero pair q_T: for IMR and HMR fitted by Gaussian spectrum (NA50 procedure)
- extrapolation to LMR: constrained by photon point $M \to 0$
- Correlated decays of D and $\bar{D}$ mesons
  - use data (provided by NA60 collaboration)

Invariant-mass spectra

- Fireball with "standard" EoS-A ($T_c = T_{\text{chem}} = 175$ MeV)
- overall normalization ⇔ total fireball lifetime
- relative normalization of thermal radiation fixed by rates
- rates integrated over time, volume, $\vec{q}$ including NA60 acceptance



- good description of data

Excess spectra: IMR and multi-pion contributions



- "4π contributions" $(\pi+\omega, a_1 \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-)$
- slightly enhanced by VA mixing

Excess spectra: baryon effects



- in-medium VM spectral functions without baryon effects
  - not enough broadening around $M = m_\rho^{\text{vac}}$
  - lack of strength at low mass $M \to m_{\text{thr}} = 2m_\mu$

Excess spectra: q_T binning



m_T spectra (central)







- fixed normalization in $0 \leq q_T \leq 0.5$ GeV bin
- satisfactory description of data
- high-mass bin slightly overestimated
- hard probes important for $q_T \geq 1$ GeV

m_T spectra (semicentral)







- theoretical spectra too soft in low-mass and ρ-region bin
- room for more "primordial ρ's"

Sensitivity to meson t-channel exchange contributions







- use $2\times$ of ω-t exchange to account for other mesons (e.g., a_1, π)
- hardest among thermal sources
- abolute strength not sufficient to resolve discrepancy with data

Sensitivity to T_c and hadro-chemistry

- recent lattice QCD: $T_c \simeq 190\text{-}200$ MeV or $T_c \simeq 150\text{-}160$ MeV?
- thermal-model fits to hadron ratios: $T_{\text{chem}} \simeq 150\text{-}160$ MeV



- EoS-A: $T_c = T_{\text{chem}} = 175$ MeV
- EoS-B: $T_c = T_{\text{chem}} = 160$ MeV
- EoS-C: $T_c = 190$ MeV, $T_{\text{chem}} = 160$ MeV
  - $T_c \geq T \geq T_{\text{chem}}$: hadron gas in chemical equilibrium
- keep fireball parameters the same (including life time)

Sensitivity to T_c and hadro-chemistry

- recent lattice QCD: $T_c \simeq 190\text{-}200$ MeV or $T_c \simeq 150\text{-}160$ MeV?
- thermal-model fits to hadron ratios: $T_{\text{chem}} \simeq 150\text{-}160$ MeV





- EoS-A: $T_c = T_{\text{chem}} = 175$ MeV
- EoS-B: $T_c = T_{\text{chem}} = 160$ MeV
- EoS-C: $T_c = 190$ MeV, $T_{\text{chem}} = 160$ MeV
  - $T_c \geq T \geq T_{\text{chem}}$: hadron gas in chemical equilibrium
- keep fireball parameters the same (including life time)

EoS-B



- mass spectra comparable to EoS-A $\leftrightarrow$ slight enhancement of fireball lifetime
- in IMR QGP > multi-pion contribution
- higher hadronic temperatures $\Rightarrow$ slightly harder q_T spectra
- not enough to resolve discrepancy with data

EoS-C



- mass spectra comparable to EoS-A $\leftrightarrow$ slight reduction of fireball lifetime
- in IMR multi-pion $\gg$ QGP contribution
- higher hadronic temperatures + high-density hadronic phase $\Rightarrow$ harder q_T spectra
- better agreement with data

IMR: QGP vs. multi-pion radiation





- EoS-B: QGP dominates over multi-pion radiation
- opposite in EoS-A and EoS-C
- multi-pion radiation dominantly from high-density hadronic phase

reason: $\mathrm{d}N_{ll}/\mathrm{d}M\mathrm{d}T \propto \mathrm{Im}\,\Pi_{\mathrm{em}}(M,T)\ \exp(-M/T)\ T^{-5.5}$

- radiation maximal for $T = T_{\mathrm{max}} = M/5.5$
- hadronic and partonic radiation "dual" for $T \sim T_c$
  compatible with chiral-symmetry restoration!

Inverse-slope analysis

- to extract T_{eff} fit to

$$\frac{1}{q_T}\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}q_T} = \frac{1}{m_T}\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}m_T} = C\exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T_{\text{eff}}}\right)$$

- fit of theoretical q_T spectra: 1 GeV $< q_T < 1.8$ GeV





- standard fireball acceleration: too soft q_T spectra
- lower T_c in EoS-B and EoS-C helps (higher hadronic temperatures)
- NB: here, Drell Yan contribution taken out

Inverse-slope analysis



- enhance fireball acceleration to $a_\perp = 0.1c^2/\text{fm}$
- effective at all stages of fireball evolution
- agreement in IMR not spoiled $\Leftrightarrow$ dominated from earlier stages
- EoS-B harder $\Leftrightarrow$ relative contribution of harder freezeout ρ decays vs. thermal ρ's larger

Inverse-slope analysis



- sensitivity to contributions from meson t-channel exchange
  - hardens low-mass region
  - using vacuum ρ in t-channel contribution: enhances slope in ρ region
- sensitivity to Drell-Yan contribution
  - for IMR: describes effect seen in data (open vs. solid square data point)
  - in LMR: too high around muon threshold $\Leftrightarrow$
    due to uncertainties in extrapolation to low M?!?

Slopes of thermal radiation

- use EoS-B with $a_\perp = 0.1c^2/\text{fm}$
- fit the dilepton slopes from thermal sources only



- freeze-out and primordial ρ's crucial for $q_T > 1$ GeV

Hadron slopes

- hadron slopes extracted by fit to freeze-out contribution



- η, ω, and ϕ slopes described by EoS-A and smaller $a_\perp$
- ρ slope by EoS-B with larger $a_\perp$
- possible resolution: "successive freezeout" $\Leftrightarrow$ vector mesons freeze out at different times, determined by interaction strength (i.e., width)

Conclusions and Outlook

- dilepton spectra $\Leftrightarrow$ in-medium em. current correlator
- model for dilepton sources
  - radiation from thermal sources: QGP, ρ, ω, ϕ
  - ρ-decay after thermal freeze-out
  - decays of non-thermalized primordial ρ's
  - Drell-Yan annihilation, correlated $D\bar{D}$ decays

Conclusions and Outlook

- dilepton spectra $\Leftrightarrow$ in-medium em. current correlator
- model for dilepton sources
  - radiation from thermal sources: QGP, ρ, ω, ϕ
  - ρ-decay after thermal freeze-out
  - decays of non-thermalized primordial ρ's
  - Drell-Yan annihilation, correlated $D\bar{D}$ decays
- invariant-mass spectra and medium effects
  - excess yield dominated by radiation from thermal sources
  - baryons essential for in-medium properties of vector mesons
  - melting ρ with little mass shift robust signal! (independent of T_c)
  - IMR well described by scenarios with radiation dominated either by QGP or multi-pion processes (depending on EoS)
    - Reason: mostly from thermal radiation around 160 MeV $\leq T \leq 190$ MeV
      $\Leftrightarrow$ "parton-hadron" duality of rates
      $\Leftrightarrow$ compatible with chiral-symmetry restoration!
  - dimuons in In-In (NA60), Pb-Au (CERES/NA45), γ in Pb-Pb (WA98)

Conclusions and Outlook

- fireball/freeze-out dynamics $\Leftrightarrow$ m_T spectra and effective slopes
  - "non-thermal sources" important for $q_T \gtrsim 1$ GeV
  - lower $T_c \Rightarrow$ higher hadronic temperatures $\Rightarrow$ harder q_T spectra
  - to describe measured effective slopes $a_\perp = 0.085c^2/\text{fm} \rightarrow 0.1c^2/\text{fm}$
  - off-equilibrium effects (viscous hydro)?
- Further developments
  - understand recent PHENIX results (large dilepton excess in LMR)
  - vector- should be complemented with axial-vector-spectral functions (a_1 as chiral partner of ρ)
  - constrained with lQCD via in-medium Weinberg chiral sum rules
  - direct connection to chiral phase transition!

Backup: parton-hadron duality of rates



- in-medium hadron gas matches with QGP
- similar results also for γ rates
- "quark-hadron duality"!?
- indirect evidence for chiral-symmetry restoration

- good agreement also for dielectron spectra in 158 GeV Pb-Au
- allows further check of low-mass tail from baryon effects down to $M \rightarrow 2m_e$





Backup: Low-m_T rise

- observed low-q_T deviation from $\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{m_T \mathrm{d}m_T} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T_{\text{eff}}}\right)$
- asymptotic limit for $M \gg T$ AND $q_T \gg M$ for ll from fo-ρ decays
- for hadrons or radiation from freeze-out ρ's:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\text{fo})}}{m_T \mathrm{d}m_T} \propto \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T_{\text{eff}}}\right) & \text{for } q_T \gg M \gg T \\ \sqrt{m_T} \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T'_{\text{eff}}}\right) & \text{for } q_T \ll T \ll M. \end{cases}$$

- for radiation from thermal source:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N^{(\text{MT})}}{m_T \mathrm{d}m_T} \propto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m_T} \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T_{\text{eff}}}\right) & \text{for } q_T \gg M \gg T \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_T}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_T}{T'_{\text{eff}}}\right) & \text{for } q_T \ll T \ll M. \end{cases}$$

- with effective inverse slopes

$$T_{\text{eff}} = T\sqrt{\frac{1+\xi\beta^B}{1-\xi\beta^B}} \; (0 < \xi < 1), \quad T'_{\text{eff}} \simeq T + \frac{M}{2}\left\langle \beta^2(r) \right\rangle_r = T + \frac{M}{4}\beta_B^2.$$

- possibly also effect of Bose Enhancement due to μ_{mesons}

Backup: Low-m_T rise