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## Outline

- I will discuss two lattice QCD projects (which are related):
(1) Computation of the spectrum of radially/orbitally excited $B$ mesons.
(2) Computation of spin/isospin/parity dependent forces between $B$ mesons.


## Part 1

# Computation of the spectrum of radially/orbitally excited $B$ mesons 

[K. Jansen, C. Michael, A. Shindler and M.W. [ETM Collaboration], JHEP 0812, 058 (2008)]
[C. Michael, A. Shindler and M.W. [ETM Collaboration], JHEP 1008, 009 (2010)]

## QCD (quantum chromodynamics)

- Quantum field theory of quarks (six flavors $u, d, s, c, t, b$, which differ in mass) and gluons.
- Part of the standard model explaining the formation of hadrons (mesons $=q \bar{q}$, baryons $=q q q / \bar{q} \bar{q} \bar{q}$ ) and their masses; essential for decays involving hadrons.
- Definition of QCD by means of an action simple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\int d^{4} x\left(\sum_{f \in\{u, d, s, c, t, b\}} \bar{\psi}^{(f)}\left(\gamma_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}-i A_{\mu}\right)+m^{(f)}\right) \psi^{(f)}+\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}\right)\right) \\
& F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}-i\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}\right] . \\
& \text { - However, no analytical solutions for low energy QCD } \\
& \text { observables, e.g. hadron masses, known, because of } \\
& \text { the absence of any small parameter (i.e. perturbation } \\
& \text { theory not applicable). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## $B$ mesons, static-light mesons

- $B$ meson: a meson made from a heavy $b$ quark $\left(m_{b} \approx 4200 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$ and a light $u, d$ or $s$ quark $\left(m_{l} \lesssim 100 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$, e.g. $B=\{\bar{b} u, \bar{b} d\}, B_{s}=\bar{b} s$.
- Static limit, i.e. $m_{b} \rightarrow \infty$ :
- No interactions involving the static quark spin.
- Classify states according to parity $\mathcal{P}$ and half-integer total angular momentum of the light cloud $j$.
- $m_{b}$ finite, but heavy:
- Classify states according to parity $\mathcal{P}$ and total angular momentum $J$.

| $j^{\mathcal{P}}$ (static-light) | $J^{\mathcal{P}}$ (finite $m_{b}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1 / 2)^{-} \equiv S$ | $\begin{aligned} 0^{-} & \equiv B_{(s)} \\ 1^{-} & \equiv B_{(s)}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & (1 / 2)^{+} \equiv P_{-} \\ & (3 / 2)^{+} \equiv P_{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0^{+} \equiv B_{(s) 0}^{*}(\text { not in PDG }) \\ & 1^{+} \equiv B_{(s) 1}^{*}(\text { not in PDG }) \\ & 1^{+} \equiv B_{(s) 1} \\ & 2^{+} \equiv B_{(s) 2}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & (3 / 2)^{-} \equiv D_{-} \\ & (5 / 2)^{-} \equiv D_{+} \end{aligned}$ | $1^{-}$(no experiment) <br> $2^{-}$(no experiment) <br> $2^{-}$(no experiment) <br> $3^{-}$(no experiment) |
| $(5 / 2)^{+} \equiv F_{-}$ $\ldots$ | $2^{+}$(no experiment) <br> $3^{+}$(no experiment) |

## How to compute $M$ (static-light meson)? (1)

- Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})$ be a suitable "static-light meson creation operator", i.e. an operator such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})|\Omega\rangle$ is a state containing a static-light meson at position $\mathbf{x}(|\Omega\rangle$ : vacuum $)$.
- More precisely: ... an operator such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})|\Omega\rangle$ has the same quantum numbers ( $j^{\mathcal{P}}$, flavor) as the static-light meson of interest.
- Determine the mass of the ground state of the corresponding static-light meson from the exponential behavior of the corresponding correlation function $\mathcal{C}$ at large Euclidean times $T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(T) & =\langle\Omega|(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, T))^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)|\Omega\rangle=\langle\Omega| e^{+H T}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0))^{\dagger} e^{-H T} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)|\Omega\rangle \\
= & \left.\sum_{n}|\langle n| \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)| \Omega\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \exp \left(-\left(E_{n}-E_{\Omega}\right) T\right) \approx(\text { for } T \gg 1) \\
& \approx|\langle 0| \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)| \Omega\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \exp (-\underbrace{\left(E_{0}-E_{\Omega}\right)}_{M(\text { static-light meson })} T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to compute $M$ (static-light ...

- General form of a static-light meson creation operator:

$$
\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})=\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \int d \hat{\mathbf{n}} \Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) U(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}}) q(\mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}}) .
$$


$-\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x})$ creates an infinitely heavy i.e. static antiquark at position $\mathbf{x}$.
$-q(\mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}})$ creates a light quark at position $\mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ separated by a distance $d$ from the static antiquark.

- The spatial parallel transporter

$$
U(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}})=P\left\{\exp \left(+i \int_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}}} d z_{j} A_{j}(\mathbf{z})\right)\right\}
$$

connects the antiquark and the quark in a gauge invariant way via gluons.

- The integration over the unit sphere $\int d \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ combined with a suitable weight factor $\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ yields well defined total angular momentum $J$ and parity $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is a combination of spherical harmonics $[\rightarrow$ angular momentum] and $\gamma$-matrices [ $\rightarrow$ spin]; Wigner-Eckart theorem).


## How to compute $M$ (static-light ...

- General form of a static-light meson creation operator:

$$
\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x})=\bar{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \int d \hat{\mathbf{n}} \Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) U(\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}}) q(\mathbf{x}+d \hat{\mathbf{n}})
$$



- List of operators ( $J$ : total angular momentum; $j$ : total angular momentum of the light cloud; $\mathcal{P}$ : parity):

| $\Gamma(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ | $J^{\mathcal{P}}$ | $j^{\mathcal{P}}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | lattice $j^{\mathcal{P}}$ | notation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma_{5}, \gamma_{5} \gamma_{j} \hat{n}_{j}$ | $0^{-}\left[1^{-}\right]$ | $(1 / 2)^{-}$ | $A_{1}$ | $(1 / 2)^{-},(7 / 2)^{-}, \ldots$ | $S$ |
| $1, \gamma_{j} \hat{n}_{j}$ | $0^{+}\left[1^{+}\right]$ | $(1 / 2)^{+}$ |  | $(1 / 2)^{+},(7 / 2)^{+}, \ldots$ | $P_{-}$ |
| $\gamma_{1} \hat{n}_{1}-\gamma_{2} \hat{n}_{2}$ (and cyclic) | $2^{+}\left[1^{+}\right]$ | $(3 / 2)^{+}$ | $E$ | $(3 / 2)^{+},(5 / 2)^{+}, \ldots$ | $P_{+}$ |
| $\gamma_{5}\left(\gamma_{1} \hat{n}_{1}-\gamma_{2} \hat{n}_{2}\right)$ (and cyclic) | $2^{-}\left[1^{-}\right]$ | $(3 / 2)^{-}$ |  | $(3 / 2)^{-},(5 / 2)^{-}, \ldots$ | $D_{ \pm}$ |
| $\gamma_{1} \hat{n}_{2} \hat{n}_{3}+\gamma_{2} \hat{n}_{3} \hat{n}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \hat{n}_{1} \hat{n}_{2}$ | $3^{-}\left[2^{-}\right]$ | $(5 / 2)^{-}$ | $A_{2}$ | $(5 / 2)^{-},(7 / 2)^{-}, \ldots$ | $D_{+}$ |
| $\gamma_{5}\left(\gamma_{1} \hat{n}_{2} \hat{n}_{3}+\gamma_{2} \hat{n}_{3} \hat{n}_{1}+\gamma_{3} \hat{n}_{1} \hat{n}_{2}\right)$ | $3^{+}\left[2^{+}\right]$ | $(5 / 2)^{+}$ |  | $(5 / 2)^{+},(7 / 2)^{+}, \ldots$ | $F_{ \pm}$ |

## Lattice QCD (1)

- Goal: compute correlation functions $\mathcal{C}(T)$ of the previously discussed static-light meson creation operators (the corresponding meson masses can directly be read off from their exponential decays).
- Use the path integral formulation of QCD,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(T) & =\langle\Omega|(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, T))^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)|\Omega\rangle= \\
& =\frac{1}{Z} \int\left(\prod_{f} D \psi^{(f)} D \bar{\psi}^{(f)}\right) D A_{\mu}(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, T))^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0) e^{-S\left[\psi^{(f)}, \bar{\psi}^{(f)}, A_{\mu}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$-|\Omega\rangle$ : ground state/vacuum.

- $(\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, T))^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ : function of the quark and gluon fields (cf. previous slides).
$-\int\left(\prod_{f} D \psi^{(f)} D \bar{\psi}^{(f)}\right) D A_{\mu}$ : integral over all possible quark and gluon field configurations $\psi^{(f)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $A_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, t)$.
$-e^{-S[x]}$ : weight factor containing the QCD action.


## Lattice QCD (2)

- Numerical implementation of the path integral formalism in QCD:
- Discretize spacetime with sufficiently small lattice spacing $a \approx 0.05 \mathrm{fm} \ldots 0.10 \mathrm{fm}$ $\rightarrow$ "continuum physics".
- "Make spacetime periodic" with sufficiently large extension $L \approx 2.0 \mathrm{fm} \ldots 4.0 \mathrm{fm}$ (4-dimensional torus) $\rightarrow$ "no finite size effects".



## Lattice QCD (3)

- Numerical implementation of the path integral formalism in QCD:
- After discretization the path integral becomes an ordinary multidimensional integral:

$$
\int D \psi D \bar{\psi} D A \ldots \rightarrow \prod_{x_{\mu}}\left(\int d \psi\left(x_{\mu}\right) d \bar{\psi}\left(x_{\mu}\right) d U\left(x_{\mu}\right)\right) \ldots
$$

- Typical present-day dimensionality of a discretized QCD path integral:
* $x_{\mu}: 32^{4} \approx 10^{6}$ lattice sites.
* $\psi=\psi_{A}^{a,(f)}: 24$ quark degrees of freedom for every flavor $(\times 2$ particle/antiparticle, $\times 3$ color, $\times 4$ spin), 2 flavors.
* $U=U_{\mu}^{a b}: 32$ gluon degrees of freedom ( $\times 8$ color, $\times 4$ spin).
* In total: $32^{4} \times(2 \times 24+32) \approx \mathbf{8 3} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{6}}$ dimensional integral.
$\rightarrow$ standard approaches for numerical integration not applicable
$\rightarrow$ sophisticated algorithms mandatory (stochastic integration techniques, so-called Monte-Carlo algorithms).


## Lattice setup

- Various lattice spacings: $a \approx 0.051 \mathrm{fm}, 0.064 \mathrm{fm}, 0.080 \mathrm{fm}$ (corresponding to $48^{3} \times 96,32^{3} \times 64,24^{3} \times 48$ lattice sites).
- Lattice extensions: $L \approx 2.45 \mathrm{fm}, 2.05 \mathrm{fm}, 1.92 \mathrm{fm}$ (periodic boundary conditions).
- Many different pion mass: $m_{\mathrm{PS}} \approx 284 \ldots 637 \mathrm{MeV}$.


## Masses of $B$ and $B_{s}$ mesons (1)

- Compute static-light meson masses $\left(B / B_{s}\right.$ mesons with $\left.m_{b} \rightarrow \infty\right)$ for different light $u / d$ quark masses and different lattice spacings:
- Different $u / d$ quark masses to extrapolate to the physical $u / d$ quark mass (due to technical reasons $m_{\mathrm{PS}}^{(\text {lattice })} \gtrsim 284 \mathrm{MeV}, m_{\mathrm{PS}}^{\text {physical }} \approx 135 \mathrm{MeV}$ ).
- Different lattice spacings to extrapolate to the continuum.
- Horizontal axis: pion mass $\left(m_{\mathrm{PS}}^{(\text {lattice })}\right)^{2}$.
- Vertical axis: $M\left(j^{\mathcal{P}}\right)-M\left((1 / 2)^{-}\right)$mass difference between radially and orbitally excited " $B$ mesons" $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}, B_{1}, B_{2}^{*}, \ldots\right)$ and the "ground state $B$ meson" $\left(B / B^{*} \equiv j^{\mathcal{P}}=(1 / 2)^{-}\right) \ldots$ analogous for " $B_{s}$ mesons".



## Masses of $B$ and $B_{s}$ mesons (2)

- Summary of the computed static-light meson spectrum:

| $j^{\mathcal{P}}$ | alternative <br> notation | $B$ mesons $(\bar{b} u$ or $\bar{b} d):$ <br> $M\left(j^{\mathcal{P}}\right)-M\left((1 / 2)^{-}\right)$in MeV | $B_{s}$ mesons $(\bar{b} s)$ <br> $M\left(j^{\mathcal{P}}\right)-M\left((1 / 2)^{-}\right)$in MeV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1 / 2)^{+}$ | $P_{-}$ | $406(19)$ | $413(12)$ |
| $(3 / 2)^{+}$ | $P_{+}$ | $516(18)$ | $504(12)$ |
| $(3 / 2)^{-},(5 / 2)^{-}$ | $D_{ \pm}$ | $870(27)$ | $770(26)$ |
| $(5 / 2)^{-}$ | $D_{+}$ | $930(28)$ | $960(24)$ |
| $(5 / 2)^{+},(7 / 2)^{+}$ | $F_{ \pm}$ | $1196(30)$ | $1179(37)$ |
| $(1 / 2)^{-}$ | $S^{*}$ | $755(16)$ | $751(26)$ |

- Motivation/achievements:
- Continuum limit (among the first).
- Dependence on the light $u / d$ sea quark mass (for the first time).
- Valuable input for model builders (e.g. no reversal of $M\left(P_{-}\right)$and $\left.M\left(P_{+}\right), \ldots\right)$.


## Masses of $B$ and $B_{s}$ mesons (3)

- Comparison to experimental results:
- Extrapolation to the physical (finite) $b$ quark mass $m_{B} \approx 4200 \mathrm{MeV}$ :
* Use rather precise experimental results for $c$ quarks, i.e. $D$ mesons.
* Assume that Heavy Quark Effective Theory (HQET) up to $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{Q}\right)$ is "valid" down to the physical charm quark mass.
* Amounts to "reincluding" hyperfine splitting.

|  | $M-M(B)$ in MeV |  |  | $M-M\left(B_{s}\right)$ in MeV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | lattice | experiment | name | lattice | experiment |
| $B_{0}^{*}$ | $443(21)$ |  | $B_{s 0}^{*}$ | $391(8)$ |  |
| $B_{1}^{*}$ | $460(22)$ |  | $B_{s 1}^{*}$ | $440(8)$ |  |
| $B_{1}$ | $530(12)$ | $444(2)$ | $B_{s 1}$ | $526(8)$ | $463(1)$ |
| $B_{2}^{*}$ | $543(12)$ | $464(5)$ | $B_{s 2}^{*}$ | $539(8)$ | $473(1)$ |
| $B_{J}^{*}$ |  | $418(8)$ | $B_{s J}^{*}$ |  | $487(15)$ |

- Difference between lattice and experimental results: scale setting problem?


## Part 2

# Computation of spin/isospin/parity dependent forces between $B$ mesons. 

[M. W. [ETM Collaboration], PoS LATTICE2010, 162 (2010)]
[M. W. [ETM Collaboration], Acta Phys. Pol. B Proceedings Supplement, Vol. 4, No. 4, 2011, page 747]

## Introduction (1)

- Goal: compute the potential of (or equivalently the force between) two $B$ mesons from first principles by means of lattice QCD:
- Treat the $b$ quark in the static approximation.
- Consider only pseudoscalar/vector mesons $\left(j^{\mathcal{P}}=(1 / 2)^{-}\right.$, denoted by $S$, PDG: $B, B^{*}$ ) and scalar/pseudovector mesons $\left(j^{\mathcal{P}}=(1 / 2)^{+}\right.$, denoted by $\left.P_{-}, \mathrm{PDG}: B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$, which are among the lightest static-light mesons.
- Study the dependence of the mesonic potential $V(R)$ on
* the light quark flavor $u$ and/or $d$ (isospin),
* the light quark spin (the static quark spin is irrelevant),
* the type of the meson $S$ and/or $P_{-}$.



## Introduction (2)

- Motivation:
- First principles computation of a hadronic force.
- Possible application: determine, whether two $B$ mesons may form bound states (tetraquarks).
- Until now
* it has mainly been studied in the quenched approximation,
* only pseudoscalar $(S)$, but no scalar $\left(P_{-}\right) B$ mesons have been considered.
[C. Michael and P. Pennanen [UKQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 60, 054012 (1999)]
[W. Detmold, K. Orginos and M. J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D 76, 114503 (2007)]
[G. Bali and M. Hetzenegger, PoS LATTICE2010, 142 (2010)]



## (Pseudo)scalar $B$ mesons

- Symmetries and quantum numbers of static-light mesons:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - Isospin: } I=1 / 2, I_{z}= \pm 1 / 2 \text {, i.e. } B \equiv \bar{Q} u \text { or } B \equiv \bar{Q} d \text {. } \\
& \text { - Parity: } \mathcal{P}= \pm, \\
& \quad * \mathcal{P}=-\equiv S \text { (wave), } \\
& \quad * \mathcal{P}=+\equiv P_{-} \text {(wave). }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rotations:
* Light cloud angular momentum $j=1 / 2$ (for $S$ and $P_{-}$), $j_{z}= \pm 1 / 2$.
* Static quark spin: irrelevant (static quarks can also be treated as spinless color charges).
- Examples of static-light meson creation operators:
- $\bar{Q} \gamma_{5} q$ (pseudoscalar, i.e. $S$ ), $q \in\{u, d\}$,
- $\bar{Q} q$ (scalar, i.e. $P_{-}$)
( $j_{z}$ is not well-defined, when using these operators).


## $B B$ systems (1)

- Symmetries and quantum numbers of a pair of static-light mesons (separated along the $z$-axis):
- Isospin: $I=0,1, I_{z}=-1,0,+1$.
- Rotations around the $z$-axis:
* Angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom $j_{z}=-1,0,+1$.
* Static quark spin: irrelevant (static quarks can also be treated as spinless color charges).
- Parity: $\mathcal{P}= \pm$.
- If $j_{z}=0$, reflection along the $x$-axis: $\mathcal{P}_{x}= \pm$.
- Instead of using $j_{z}= \pm 1$ one can also label states by $\left|j_{z}\right|=1, \mathcal{P}_{x}= \pm$.
$\rightarrow$ Label $B B$ states by $\left(I, I_{z},\left|j_{z}\right|, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{x}\right)$.



## $B B$ systems (2)

- To extract the potential(s) of a given sector (characterized by $\left(I, I_{z},\left|j_{z}\right|, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{x}\right)$ ), compute the temporal correlation function of the trial state
$(\mathcal{C} \Gamma)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(1)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(2)}(+R / 2)\right)|\Omega\rangle$,
where
$-\mathcal{C}=\gamma_{0} \gamma_{2}$ (charge conjugation matrix),
$-q^{(1)} q^{(2)} \in\{u d-d u \quad, \quad u u, d d, u d+d u\}$ (isospin $I, I_{z}$ ),
$-\Gamma$ is an arbitrary combination of $\gamma$ matrices $\left(\operatorname{spin}\left|j_{z}\right|\right.$, parity $\left.\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{x}\right)$.



## $B B$ systems (3)

- $B B$ creation operators for $I_{z}=+1$ : 16 operators of type

$$
(\mathcal{C} \Gamma)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(u)}(+R / 2)\right) .
$$

| $\Gamma$ | $\left\|j_{z}\right\|, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0,-,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5}$ | $0,+,+$ |
| $\gamma_{5}$ | $0,+,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0}$ | $0,+,-$ |
| $\gamma_{3}$ | $0,-,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{5}$ | $0,+,+$ |
| $\gamma_{3} \gamma_{5}$ | $0,-,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{3}$ | $0,-,-$ |
| $\gamma_{1}$ | $1,-,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{5}$ | $1,+,-$ |
| $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{5}$ | $1,-,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{1}$ | $1,-,+$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## $B B$ systems (4)

- $B B$ creation operators for $I_{z}=0: 32$ operators of type
$(\mathcal{C} \Gamma)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(d)}(+R / 2)\right) \pm(u \leftrightarrow d)$.

| $\Gamma, \pm$ | $\left\|j_{z}\right\|, I, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma_{5},-$ | $0,0,-,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0},-$ | $0,0,-,-$ |
| $1,-$ | $0,0,+,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5},-$ | $0,0,-,+$ |
| $\gamma_{3} \gamma_{5},-$ | $0,0,+,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{3},-$ | $0,0,+,-$ |
| $\gamma_{3},-$ | $0,0,+,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{5},-$ | $0,0,-,+$ |
| $\gamma_{5},+$ | $0,1,+,+$ |
| $\gamma_{0},+$ | $0,1,+,-$ |
| $1,+$ | $0,1,-,-$ |
| $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5},+$ | $0,1,+,+$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Lattice setup

- Lattice spacing: $a \approx 0.079 \mathrm{fm}$.
- Lattice extension: $L \approx 1.90 \mathrm{fm}$ (periodic boundary conditions).
- Pion mass: $m_{\mathrm{PS}} \approx 340 \mathrm{MeV}$.


## Discussion of results (1)

- Four "types of potentials":
- Two attractive, two repulsive.
- Two have asymptotic values, which are larger by $\approx 400 \mathrm{MeV}$.
- There are cases, where two potentials with identical quantum numbers are completely different (i.e. of different type)
$\rightarrow$ at least one of the corresponding trial states must have very small ground state overlap
$\rightarrow$ physical understanding, i.e. interpretation of trial states needed.



## Discussion of results (2)

- Expectation at large meson separation $R: V(R) \approx 2 \times$ meson mass.
- Potentials with smaller asymptotic value at $\approx 2 \times m(S)$.
$-m\left(P_{-}\right)-m(S) \approx 400 \mathrm{MeV}$ : approximately the observed difference between different types of potentials.
$\rightarrow$ Two types correspond to $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials.
$\rightarrow$ Two types correspond to $S \leftrightarrow P_{\text {- potentials. }}$
- Can this be understood in detail on the level of the used $B B$ creation operators?



## Discussion of results (3)

- Express the $B B$ creation operators in terms of static-light meson creation operators (use suitable spin and parity projectors for the light quarks).
- Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *uu, } \Gamma=1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}=-, \mathcal{P}_{x}=-: \\
& \quad(\mathcal{C} 1)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(u)}(+R / 2)\right) \\
& \quad \propto \quad S_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}-S_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow}+P_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}-P_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow} . \\
& \quad \begin{array}{ll}
u u, \Gamma=\gamma_{3} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}=-, \mathcal{P}_{x}=-: \\
& \left(\mathcal{C} \gamma_{3}\right)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(u)}(+R / 2)\right)
\end{array} \propto \\
& \quad \propto \quad S_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}+S_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow}-P_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}-P_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $S S / S P_{-}$content and asymptotic values in agreement for all 64 correlation functions/ potentials
$\rightarrow$ asymptotic differences understood.



## Discussion of results (4)

- Is there a general rule, about when a potential is repulsive and when attractive?
$-S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials:
* $(I=0, s=0)$ or $(I=1, s=1)$, i.e. $I=s \quad \rightarrow \quad$ attractive $(I=0, s=1)$ or $(I=1, s=0)$, i.e. $I \neq s \quad \rightarrow \quad$ repulsive ( $s$ : combined angular momentum of the two mesons).
* Example: $u u, \Gamma=\gamma_{3} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}=-, \mathcal{P}_{x}=-$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{C} \gamma_{3}\right)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(u)}(+R / 2)\right) \propto \\
& \quad \propto \quad S_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}+S_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow}-P_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}-P_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow} .
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $I=1, s=1$; the numerically obtained potential is attractive, i.e. in agreement with the above stated rule.

* All $32 S \leftrightarrow S$ correlation functions/potentials fulfill the rule.
* Agreement with similar quenched lattice studies.
[C. Michael and P. Pennanen [UKQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 60, 054012 (1999)]
[W. Detmold, K. Orginos and M. J. Savage, Phys. Rev. D 76, 114503 (2007)]


## Discussion of results (5)

$-S \leftrightarrow P_{-}$potentials:

* Do not obey the above stated rule.

* It can, however, easily be generalized by including parity, i.e. symmetry or antisymmetry under exchange of $S$ and $P_{-}$: trial state symmetric under meson exchange $\rightarrow$ attractive trial state antisymmetric under meson exchange $\rightarrow$ repulsive (meson exchange $\equiv$ exchange of flavor, spin and parity).
* Example: $u u, \Gamma=\gamma_{0} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{P}=+, \mathcal{P}_{x}=-$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{C} \gamma_{0}\right)_{A B}\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(-R / 2) q_{A}^{(u)}(-R / 2)\right)\left(\bar{Q}_{C}(+R / 2) q_{B}^{(u)}(+R / 2)\right) \propto \\
& \quad \propto \quad S_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}-S_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow}-P_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}+P_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $I=1$ (symmetric), $s=0$ (antisymmetric), antisymmetric with respect to $S \leftrightarrow P_{-}$; the numerically obtained potential is attractive, i.e. in agreement with the above stated general rule.

* All $32 S \leftrightarrow P_{-}$correlation functions/potentials (and all $32 S \leftrightarrow S$ correlation functions/potentials) fulfill the generalized rule.


## Discussion of results (6)

- Improvements after having understood the extraction and interpretation of $B B$ potentials from single correlation functions:
- Linearly combine $B B$ operators to either eliminate $P_{-} \leftrightarrow P_{-}$or $S \leftrightarrow S$ combinations.
- Example:
$u d-d u, \Gamma=\gamma_{5} \quad \rightarrow \quad-S_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}+S_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow}-P_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}+P_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow}$
$u d-d u, \Gamma=\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5} \quad \rightarrow \quad-S_{\uparrow} S_{\downarrow}+S_{\downarrow} S_{\uparrow}+P_{\uparrow} P_{\downarrow}-P_{\downarrow} P_{\uparrow}$
$\rightarrow$ use $\gamma_{5}+\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5}$ to obtain a better signal for the $S \leftrightarrow S$ potential
$\rightarrow$ use $\gamma_{5}-\gamma_{0} \gamma_{5}$ to extract the $P_{-} \leftrightarrow P_{-}$potential.



## Discussion of results (7)

- Improvements after having understood the extraction and interpretation of $B B$ potentials from single correlation functions:
- Use correlation matrices instead of single correlation functions to avoid mixing with $B B$ states of lower energy, which is present, because
* although the product of two specific $B$ meson creation operators closely resembles the corresponding $B B$ state, it will still have a non-vanishing overlap to $B B$ states corresponding to $B$ mesons with different isospin, spin and/or parity,
* twisted mass lattice QCD explicitely breaks isospin and parity (the breaking is proportional to the lattice spacing $a$; isospin and parity will be restored in the continuum limit).


## Summary of $B B$ states and degeneracies

- Two $B$ mesons, each can have $I_{z}= \pm 1 / 2, j_{z}= \pm 1 / 2, \mathcal{P}= \pm$ $\rightarrow 8 \times 8=64$ states.
- $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials:
- Attractive:
 (10 states).
- Repulsive:

- $S \leftrightarrow P_{-}$potentials:
- Attractive: $\underbrace{1 \oplus 1 \oplus 3 \oplus 3}_{\left|j_{z}\right|=0} \oplus \underbrace{2 \oplus 6}_{\left|j_{z}\right|=1}$ (16 states).
- Repulsive: $\underbrace{1 \oplus 1 \oplus 3 \oplus 3}_{\left|j_{z}\right|=0} \oplus \underbrace{2 \oplus 6}_{\left|j_{z}\right|=1} \quad$ (16 states).
- $P_{-} \leftrightarrow P_{-}$potentials: identical to $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials.
- In total 24 different potentials.


## Attractive $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials

- Attractive $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials are relevant, when trying to determine, whether $B B$ may form a bound state.
- Three different attractive $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials:



## Summary, conclusions, future plans (1)

- Computation of $B B$ potentials (arbitrary flavor, spin and parity) with "light" dynamical quarks ( $m_{\mathrm{PS}} \approx 340 \mathrm{MeV}$ ).
- Qualitative agreement with existing quenched results for $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials.
- First lattice computation of $S \leftrightarrow P_{-}$and $P_{-} \leftrightarrow P_{-}$potentials.
- Clear statements about whether a potential of a given channel is attractive or repulsive.
- Statistical accuracy problematic (exponentially decaying correlation functions are quickly lost in statistical noise):
- Reasonable accuracy for attractive $S \leftrightarrow S$ potentials (interesting, when trying to determine, whether $B B$ may form a bound state).
- Other (higher) potentials:
$\rightarrow B B$ potentials are extracted at rather small temporal separations
$\rightarrow$ slight contamination from excited states cannot be excluded.


## Summary, conclusions, future plans (2)

- Further plans and possibilities:
- Other values of the lattice spacing, the spacetime volume and/or the $u / d$ quark mass.
- Partially quenched computations, to obtain $B_{s} B_{s}$ and/or $B_{s} B$ potentials.
- Improve lattice meson potentials at small separations (where the suppression of UV fluctuations due to the lattice cutoff yields wrong results) with corresponding perturbative potentials.
- Use lattice meson potentials to study, whether $B B$ may form a bound state.

